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Abstract

Alcohols and esters are oxygenated volatile organic compounds of commercial interest as potential replacements for traditional solvents and fuel additives; their increased use will lead to an increased release into the atmosphere where these compounds will contribute to the formation of photochemical air pollution. Consequently, detailed knowledge of their chemical behaviour in the atmosphere is required to assess possible implications of their widespread application.

Relative rate coefficients were measured for the gas-phase reactions of hydroxyl radicals and chlorine atoms with selected alcohols, esters and carbonyl oxidation products. At room temperature and atmospheric pressure, the following rate coefficients (in cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) were obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(k_{OH})</th>
<th>(k_{Cl})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>(8.28 ± 0.85) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>(1.11 ± 0.11) (\cdot) (10^{-11})</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>(9.29 ± 1.13) (\cdot) (10^{-13})</td>
<td>(1.51 ± 0.22) (\cdot) (10^{-11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>(1.95 ± 0.27) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
<td>(6.79 ± 0.93) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>(2.18 ± 0.30) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
<td>(1.90 ± 0.33) (\cdot) (10^{-11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>(3.73 ± 0.59) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
<td>(6.08 ± 0.86) (\cdot) (10^{-11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic formic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2.89 ± 0.35) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4.72 ± 0.62) (\cdot) (10^{-12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl pyruvate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4.99 ± 0.96) (\cdot) (10^{-13})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Product investigations were performed on the gas-phase oxidation of the two alcohols, 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, in the large-volume outdoor photoreactor EUPHORE in Valencia, Spain, with analyses by in situ Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) absorption spectroscopy, gas chromatography with photo-ionisation detection (GC-PID) and with high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The OH initiated oxidation of 1-butanol in 1 bar of synthetic air in the presence of nitrogen monoxide led to the formation of butanal, propanal, ethanal, formaldehyde and 4-hydroxy-2-butanone with molar yields of 0.518 ± 0.071, 0.234 ± 0.035, 0.127 ± 0.022, 0.434 ± 0.024 and 0.050 ± 0.010, respectively. The OH initiated oxidation of 1-pentanol in 1 bar of synthetic air in the presence of nitrogen monoxide led to the formation of pentanal, butanal, propanal, ethanal and formaldehyde with molar yields of 0.405 ± 0.082,
0.161 ± 0.037, 0.081 ± 0.019, 0.181 ± 0.042 and 0.251 ± 0.013, respectively. Further, the results support the possible formation of 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone, and residual IR absorptions indicated the possible presence of compounds such as 3-hydroxy-propanal as product of the reaction system.

Cl atom initiated product studies were performed, in the presence of NOₓ, on methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate in a laboratory reaction vessel in Wuppertal, using FTIR analyses; this approach was necessary to conveniently emulate the OH radical chemistry since these compounds react very slowly with OH radicals. The products formed in the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate and their molar yields were: propionic formic anhydride 0.099 ± 0.019; propionic acid 0.139 ± 0.027; carbon monoxide 0.132 ± 0.026; methyl pyruvate 0.289 ± 0.057; ethanal 0.077 ± 0.015; methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate 0.083 ± 0.016; methyl glyoxylate 0.111 ± 0.022. The products formed in the Cl atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate and their molar yields were: succinic formic anhydride 0.341 ± 0.068; mono-methyl succinate 0.447 ± 0.111; carbon monoxide 0.307 ± 0.061; dimethyl oxaloacetate 0.176 ± 0.044; and methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate 0.032-0.084.

The product studies allowed the construction of detailed photooxidation mechanisms for the selected oxygenated compounds required for atmospheric modelling.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Oxygenated volatile organic compounds

Tropospheric air pollution has a long and storied history. From at least the 13th century up to the mid-20th century, documented air pollution problems were primarily associated with high concentrations of sulphur dioxide and soot particles. These problems are often dubbed “London smog” because of a severe episode in that city in 1952. However, with the discovery of photochemical air pollution in the Los Angeles area in the mid-1940s, increasing concentrations of ozone and other photochemical oxidants and their associated impacts on human health, plant growth and materials have become a major issue world-wide [1, 2, 3]. Ozone exposures can induce alterations in the mechanical function of the lungs and structural injuries of bronchial tissue resulting in acute and chronic respiratory diseases [2]. Ozone can also enter plant leaves and react with important chemical moieties of the vegetable cells inhibiting photosynthetic activity and allocation and translocation of sucrose from shoots to the roots. Because of these biochemical and physiological effects, it can reduce biomass and the yield of vegetation and cause visible injuries [3].

The term “photochemical” air pollution reflects the essential role of solar radiation in driving atmospheric chemistry: at the Earth’s surface, radiation of 290 nm and greater induces a complex chemistry involving volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitric oxide (NO = NO + NO₂) which leads to the formation of ozone and a variety of additional oxidising species, for example peroxy acetyl nitrate, referred to as photochemical oxidants [4, 5]. With respect to the European scale, road transport and solvent use are by far the most important source categories of anthropogenic nonmethane VOC (NMVOC) emissions [6]. Fossil fuel combustion, mainly road transport, and biomass burning represent the major emission sources of anthropogenic NOx [6]. It is obvious that the overall emissions of these substances are not distributed evenly over Europe and show different trends. In Germany, for example, while the NMVOC emissions from road traffic have been considerably reduced over the last ten years through legal regulations on exhaust gas composition and the substitution of two stroke vehicles with
more modern models equipped with three-way catalysts, only a limited reduction has been achieved in the NMVOC emissions from solvent use [7]. In general, the growth in solvent demand has stabilised and it is unlikely that solvent use will decline appreciably due to industrial growth in Europe. Thus, solvent use remains one of the most important sources of NMVOC emissions. Solvents and solvent-containing products find a broad range of applications in industry as well as in business and private households [8]. Paints, paint strippers, printing inks, adhesives and coating agents contain solvents in different mixtures with the solvent comprising in some cases a relatively high percentage of the content. Furthermore, solvents are utilised for degreasing metals, dry cleaning textiles as well as extracting agents, media in chemical reactions and raw materials for pharmaceutical preparations. Being volatile substances, solvents may be released during their various applications into the atmosphere and thereby create a significant impact on photochemical ozone formation.

The “traditional” classes of solvents are chlorinated hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons and unsubstituted hydrocarbons. Although chlorofluorocarbons, CFCs, are considered to have a low photochemical reactivity, some are responsible for the depletion of ozone in the stratosphere (i.e. 1,1,1-trichloroethane and the chlorofluorocarbon CFC-113 [9, 10, 11]), while others such as tetra- and trichloroethylene and tetra- and trichloromethane are considered to be potential carcinogenic species [12]. Aromatic hydrocarbons appear to have high ozone formation potentials [13] and also lead to the generation of ring-opening products which show high mutagenicity and carcinogenity [14]. Finally, unsubstituted hydrocarbons besides their relatively low toxicity have a significant ozone forming potential [13].

International and European measures have, therefore, been adopted to regulate and reduce in stages solvent emissions by restricting the use of or by phasing out the production, as in the case of CFC solvents [15]. Solvent-containing coating systems are to be replaced, where technically possible, by environmental-friendly alternatives such as waterborne paints; introduction of best practice and pollutant-abatement technology in manufacturing processes is also expected to positively contribute to a decrease in VOC emissions. Furthermore, it has been internationally recognised that a switch from chlorocarbon, aromatic and unsubstituted hydrocarbon based solvents to oxygen-containing compounds, OVOC: oxygenated volatile organic compounds, is beneficial because the latter generally combine lower toxicity and reduced ozone formation potentials with better dissolution properties. Table 1.1 summarises the development in solvents consumption during the last 20 years in Western Europe [8].
Table 1.1: Development of consumption of solvents in Western Europe (as percentage of total) [8].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen-containing solvents</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliphatics</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatics</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorinated hydrocarbons</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consumption $10^6$ t</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the largest producers of solvents both in the US and world-wide (Shell Chemicals, DuPont, Union Carbide and Dow) are investigating the possibility to widen the current application fields of oxygenated compounds in an attempt to replace traditional solvents and are testing, in terms of chemical and physical properties, the possible introduction of new solvent types such as bi- or polyfunctional oxygen-containing compounds. Thus, it seems that replacement of many existing solvents will occur in the near future and that esters, alcohols, ketones and ethers will constitute significant proportion of these future solvents.

A similar tendency is to be found in the automotive and petroleum industry where a large research effort has taken place over the past decade to develop new, oxygenated, low reactivity gasoline formulations for spark ignited engines in automobiles and light duty trucks. Highly branched ethers (i.e. methyl tert-butyl ether, ethyl tert-butyl ether and tert-amyl methyl ether) and alcohols are used, in large volumes, as additives in gasoline fuels since they improve the octane ratio of the formulation, reduce the aromatic compound content and lower tailpipe emissions of CO and the fuel’s Reid vapour pressure. In the United States this change in fuel composition has been pushed by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments in certain areas of the state. While limited quantities have been used in gasoline in California since the 1970s, methyl tert-butyl ether, MTBE, became the oxygenated of choice for refiners in 1992 due to its favourable properties of blending and low cost. Potential and documented contamination of groundwater and surface water sources by MTBE [16, 17] and health effects from inhaling gas fumes [18] have become a cause of major concern and increasing controversy. MTBE emerges as a compound of considerable interest because of its presence at relatively high concentrations in some urban air, low Henry’s law constant (3.8 M atm$^{-1}$ at 15 °C), low partitioning to soil organic matter and resistance to degradation in the subsurface [19]. Finally, US Environmental Protection Agency has classified MTBE as a potential human carcinogen [18]. These factors
have caused widespread public health concern, and therefore, California legislature required the use of MTBE to be banned beyond 2003 [20].

Oxygenated organic compounds including ethers, alcohols and esters are also currently being investigated as attractive alternative diesel fuels and fuel components since they combine acceptable fuel properties (i.e. high cetane number and low self-ignition temperature) with low exhaust emissions (particulate and NOx) and reduced combustion noise. Finally, the already wide use of oxygenated organic compounds as solvents as well as fuels additives will probably increase in the future making their release into the atmosphere an important environmental consideration.

In order to avoid past mistakes and to obtain the maximum benefit from a switch to oxygenated compounds, reliable information on the chemical and physical properties of individual compounds and their technical performances should not be the only criteria for the selection of the alternative solvents and/or additives; the ability to affect human health and the behaviour in the environment of the possible candidates represent equally crucial aspects to be investigated before the wider use of these compounds.

1.2 State of the art

Volatile organic compounds present in the atmosphere can undergo photolysis and chemical reactions with hydroxyl radicals, nitrate radicals and ozone, with the OH radical reaction being an important, and often dominant, atmospheric loss process [21]. Kinetic data and mechanistic information on the atmospheric degradation of individual compounds are, therefore, essential components in any attempts to reliably assess the possible contribution of these substances to photochemical air pollution in urban and regional areas. Despite their importance, the atmospheric lifetime and fate of alcohols and esters have received relatively little attention.

During the last years, kinetic data have been determined for the reaction of OH radicals with both alcohols and esters [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] since such reactions constitute important steps in the oxidation of these compounds in the atmosphere and in combustion systems. These kinetic studies have provided estimates of the tropospheric lifetimes of alcohols and esters. The tropospheric lifetime of a chemical determines the relative importance of its transport and thereby the geographical scale of its potential atmospheric impacts i.e. local, regional or global. The results of the many kinetic studies [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] have extended the database for the reactions of OH radicals with alcohols and esters allowing refinements in the structure-reactivity relationships developed by Atkinson and Kwok [28, 29] to predict rate coefficients
for the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with organic compounds. While an accurate assessment of reaction kinetics is essential, a complete understanding of tropospheric processes also requires detailed product analyses of the complex chemical systems.

The atmospheric chemistry of primary alcohols and methyl esters is, to date, relatively poorly understood. The major uncertainties in the photo-degradation mechanisms of alcohols and esters which affect the final product distributions include i) the effect of the functional groups –OH and –C(O)O– in increasing or lessening the reactivity of the various sites of the molecule towards OH radicals; ii) the relative importance of the competing reaction pathways of the alkoxy radical intermediates, i.e. reaction with oxygen, thermal decomposition and isomerisation. In the case of esters, OH radical reactions are relatively slow, making studies of their degradation products difficult; thus, reactions with chlorine atoms are often employed as a surrogate to mimic the OH radical induced oxidation of such oxygenated compounds. In general, Cl atoms and OH radicals have similar modes of attack in their reaction with organic compounds, attack by Cl being more rapid but slightly less selective than that by OH. Nevertheless, the subsequent chemistry is the same. This implies that the fate of the different alkoxy radicals formed in the OH radical initiated atmospheric degradation of esters can also be accurately determined in studies of Cl reactions with esters, but the final product distribution will be somewhat different.

From the product studies currently available in the literature [30, 31, 32, 33, 34] on the atmospheric oxidation of alcohols it is known that the OH radical reaction proceeds mainly by H-atom abstraction from the C–H bond of the –CH₂OH group with the resulting α hydroxy alkyl radical reacting with O₂ to form the corresponding carbonyl.

\[
\text{RCH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RC}^\cdot\text{HOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (r. 1.1)
\]

\[
\text{RC}^\cdot\text{HOH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RCHO} + \text{HO}_2 \quad (r. 1.2)
\]

The relative importance of the OH attack at the other sites of the molecule and the fate of the alkoxy radical intermediates depend on the chain length of the alcohol and on the position of the various –CH– groups respect to the –OH functional group.

It is presently difficult to extrapolate, from the few published product studies on esters [35, 36, 37, 38, 39], characteristic mechanistic behaviour patterns for these compounds. However, it appears that alkoxy radicals of structure CH₂C(O)OCH(Ø)R undergo an “α ester” rearrangement reaction involving migration of the H-atom on the alkoxy carbon to the oxygen...
atom in the C=O group through a five-membered transition state, resulting in the formation of an acid and the acyl radical, RCO. This rearrangement is unique to esters and represents an additional possible competing reaction pathway which must be incorporated into chemical mechanisms for the tropospheric degradation of these compounds.

1.3 Compounds investigated

In this work the atmospheric oxidation of two selected alcohols and two selected esters has been investigated (Table 1.2). The alcohols 1-butanol and 1-pentanol find a variety of applications within the chemical industry: a potential increase of their use as solvents is currently under discussion. 1-Butanol is used principally in the field of surface coating either directly as a solvent or converted into derivatives, which then serve as solvents or monomer components. It is an excellent thinner and useful for regulating the viscosity and improving the flow properties of varnishes. 1-Butanol has also numerous applications in plastics and textile sectors and in the manufacture of butylamines. 1-Pentanol, a high volume chemical with a production exceeding 1 million pounds annually (in the U. S.) is particularly useful as a solvent in the reaction of substituted diamines with diisocyanates and for the production of sulphurised olefins and polyacrylates. 1-Pentanol is also employed as an extracting agent (in the purification of phosphoric acid and the separation of strontium chloride from aqueous metal chloride solutions) and as a starting material for the production of lubricant additives and for auxiliaries in flotation. Furthermore, 1-pentanol finds an important application in the pharmaceutical industry as a starting material for several syntheses and in the production of several esters that are applied in different fields.

Similarly, prompted by the need for more environmentally compatible solvents there is commercial interest in the use of esters such as methyl propionate and dibasic esters as replacements for traditional solvents. Because of their highly lipophilic and hydrophobic nature, esters are widely used as solvents, extractants and diluents. On account of their pleasant and usually fruity odour, they find important application in the manufacture of fragrances, foods, cosmetics and soaps. [Note that esters are also formed as intermediate species during the tropospheric degradation reactions of VOCs such as ethers [40, 41, 42]].

Particular attention merit dibasic esters (DBE) such as dimethyl succinate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl adipate. DBEs are important high-boiling, oxygenated solvents in the coatings industry: their high solvent power, excellent flow properties, low cost and toxicity have given paint and coatings companies the incentive to use them in their formulations. DBEs can be
used to replace methylene chloride in consumer and industrial paints removers and their high flash point and low volatility make DBEs an ideal replacement for acetone. Further, they are employed in a wide range of other applications such as plasticisers and as building blocks for a variety of polyesters and polymers.

Table 1.2: Chemical physical properties of the investigated compounds [43].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>1-butanol</th>
<th>1-pentanol</th>
<th>methyl propionate</th>
<th>DBE-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molecular weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>74.13</td>
<td>88.15</td>
<td>88.11</td>
<td>146.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>melting point (°C)</td>
<td>-89.5</td>
<td>-108.4</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>18-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boiling point (°C)</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>137.9</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flash point (°C)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density (g/ml)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>1.117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, the alcohols and esters described above, which combine satisfactory technical performances in chemical industry applications with low costs and low health risks appear to be valuable candidates for replacing traditional solvents. The potential of an increased usage of alcohols and esters introduces a general need to map out their oxidation mechanisms and necessitates a better understanding of the environmental impacts of these species since they will eventually be released into the atmosphere.

1.4 Aim of the work

The purpose of this work was to provide a scientific evaluation of the atmospheric fate of the following oxygenated volatile organic compounds: 1-butanol, 1-pentanol, methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate (DBE-4).

The programme involved measuring the rate coefficients for the hydroxyl radical reactions of the two alcohols and selected esters including besides methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate also dimethyl glutarate (DBE-5) and dimethyl adipate (DBE-6). Laboratory chamber investigations (at the University of Wuppertal) and outdoor chamber studies (at the EUPHORE facility in Valencia/Spain) were performed to identify the oxidation products formed from the reaction of OH radicals with these OVOCs and to define then their atmospheric degradation mechanisms. In the case of esters, particularly slow OH radical
reactions prevented accurate product studies, then chlorine atom reactions were employed as a surrogate to mimic their OH radical induced atmospheric oxidation. The knowledge of the oxidation mechanisms obtained for the selected OVOCs were then used to identify characteristics in their atmospheric chemistry and to formulate generalised degradation mechanism for these two classes of compounds, alcohols and esters.

The chemical mechanisms proposed in this work should be incorporated in chemical/transport models to obtain for these OVOCs estimates of their ozone formation potentials and their ability to generate other photooxidants. The information could eventually serve as a basis for decision making concerning the widespread implementation of these compounds in various applications.
Chapter 2

Experimental

2.1 Reaction chambers

2.1.1 Description of 405 ℓ reactor

The majority of the experiments performed in this work were carried out in a 405 ℓ reaction chamber. The reactor consists of a pyrex cylindrical glass vessel with a length of 1.5 m and a diameter of 60 cm closed, at both ends, by Teflon coated aluminium end flanges. Integrated on one of the metal flanges are ports for the inlet of the reactants into the chamber and for the collection of samples for further analytical analysis. Other inlet and outlet ports and accessories, like a magnetic coupled Teflon mixing fan and a capacitance manometer (Membranovac MV 110 S2), are located on the opposite flange. The experimental set-up is shown schematically in Figure 2.1.

![Figure 2.1: Schematic diagram of the 405 ℓ reaction chamber.](image-url)
The reactor is equipped with 18 fluorescent lamps (Philips TLA 40W/05; 300 ≤ λ ≤ 450 nm, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 360 \text{ nm} \)) arranged concentrically around the outside of the chamber and with 3 low pressure mercury vapour lamps (Philips TUV 40W; main emission at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 254 \text{ nm} \)) contained inside a quartz glass tube (1.6 m length, 10 cm dia.) mounted centrally inside the chamber between the end flanges. Both types of lamps were used as photolysis sources. In order to maintain the reactor at room temperature during the photolysis of reaction mixtures, the lamps are cooled by flowing ambient air through the lamp housing units of the system. The pumping system of the reactor consisted of a Balzer turbo-molecular pump, model WZ 500, backed by a Leybold double stage rotary fore pump, D 40 B model, providing a vacuum of ca. \( 10^{-4} \text{ mbar} \).

A White mirror system (base path length 1.4 m) mounted inside the reactor and coupled, by an external mirror system, to a Fourier Trasform-Spectrometer (Nicolet Magna 550) enables the in situ monitoring of both reactants and products by long path infrared absorption using a total path length of 50.4 m and a resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\). The spectrometer is equipped with a globar as IR source and with a MCT-detector (mercury/cadmium/tellurium detector) cooled to 77 K with liquid nitrogen. The spectrometer and the external transfer optics (covered with a protective box) are permanently purged with dry air to remove water vapour. The spectrometer is directly controlled by the software OMNIC, provided by Nicolet, running on a personal computer where raw data are stored.

### 2.1.2 Description of 480 ℓ reactor

The experiments to determine the rate coefficients for the reaction of OH radicals with 1-butanol and 1-pentanol were carried out in a 480 ℓ reaction chamber which has many construction similarities with the 405 ℓ reactor described in paragraph 2.1.1. The chamber consists of a cylindrical Duran glass vessel (3 m length, 45 cm dia.) closed at both ends by Teflon coated aluminium end flanges. The experimental set-up is shown schematically in Figure 2.2.

Integrated on the metal flanges are ports for the inlet of reactants into the chamber and for the collection of samples from the reaction mixtures for further analysis. Other accessories, like a mixing fan to ensure homogeneity of the reaction mixtures and a capacitance manometer, are also located on the flanges. Arranged concentrically around the outside of the reactor are 32 superactinetic fluorescent lamps (Philips TLA 40W/05, 300 ≤ λ ≤ 450 nm, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 360 \text{ nm} \)). The vacuum (ca. \( 10^{-3} \text{ mbar} \)) is maintained by means of a Leybold turbo-molecular pump, model RUVAC WZ 151 (500 m\(^3\)/h), backed by a Leybold double stage rotary fore pump, model D 40 B (200 m\(^3\)/h).
The chamber is equipped with 3 build-in White mirror systems which were, usually, operated at a total path length of 51.6 m, 28.8 m and 28.0 m for the acquisition of IR, VIS and UV spectra, respectively. Analysis of reactants and products were made, during the experiments performed in this work, by in situ FTIR long path spectroscopy using a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. The FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet Magna 520) and the transfer mirror system are covered with a protective box and are permanently purged with dry air to remove water vapour. The spectrometer is directly controlled by the software OMNIC, provided by Nicolet, running on a personal computer where raw data as well as processed data are stored.

![Diagram of the reaction chamber](image)

Figure 2.2: Schematic diagram of the 480 ℓ reaction chamber.

### 2.1.3 Description of the European photoreactor EUPHORE

Investigations on the degradation mechanism of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol were performed in the European Photoreactor EUPHORE, which is a part of the Centro de Estudios Ambientales del Mediterraneo (CEAM) in Valencia/Spain. The facility consists of two identical half spherical fluorine-ethene-propene (FEP, Du Pont) foil chambers mounted on aluminium floor panels covered with FEP foil (Figure 2.3).
Figure 2.3: The EUPHORE photoreactor.

The FEP foil transmits 85-90% of the light with wavelengths from > 500 to 320 nm, dropping to 75% transmission at the atmospheric threshold of 290 nm. Each chamber has a volume of ca. 195 m³ and a diameter of 9.2 m and is protected by a light-tight housing which can be opened, exposing the photoreactor contents to sunlight, thereby initiating the photooxidation of the reaction mixtures. To avoid unwanted heating of the chambers, the floor panels are connected to a refrigerating system (cooling capacity of 0.75 kW/m²) allowing realistic atmospheric temperature conditions to be maintained even during long-term irradiations. The temperature in the chambers is measured with two thermocouples PT-100, one measuring the floor temperature and one measuring the temperature of the chamber air. An air-drying and purification system supplies the chamber with oil vapour, hydrocarbon and NO₂-free dry air. The air is dried in absorption dryers (Zander, Type HEA 1400) reaching a dew point of -50 °C and a reduced content of CO₂; with help of a special charcoal adsorber, NO₂ is eliminated and oil vapour and non-methane hydrocarbons are reduced to ≤ 0.3 μg/m³. In order to mix the reactants, two mixing fans with an air throughput of 4000 m³/h each are installed in the chamber.

The chamber, used for the experiments performed in this work, is equipped with many different analytical and in situ measurement techniques, but only those used during the campaign of March 1999 (1-pentanol oxidation) and March 2000 (1-butanol oxidation) are
here described; a more detailed description of the European Photoreactor EUPHORE can be found in the literature [44].

An FTIR spectrometer (NICOLET Magna 550, MCT detector, 1 cm⁻¹ resolution) coupled to a White mirror system (base length 8.17 m, total optical path length 553.5 m) was used to monitor reactants and products. The mirrors, mounted at the periphery of the chamber, have a diameter of 406 mm and, to improve their reflectivity in the infrared range, are gold coated. The reactant mixtures were also analysed by gas chromatography with photo-ionisation detection (GC-PID). Gas samples were automatically collected from the chamber every 10-15 min and introduced, via a heated sampling loop of 2 ml capacity, onto a DB624 column at 80 °C (J & W Scientific, 30m, 1μm) mounted in a Fisons GC 8000 instrument. In addition, carbonyl compounds were sampled from the chamber using solid phase 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazone (DNPH)-Silica cartridges. Hydrazones, formed by derivatization, were separated and quantitatively measured by HPLC (Hewlett Packard Model 1050, UV detector).

Two instruments, both using a chemiluminescence detection method, were employed for NO₃ measurement: an Eco Physics CLD 770 AL NO₃ monitor with photolytic converter PLC 760 and a measurement range of 50 ppt-500 ppb; and a Monitor Labs MI9841A NO₃ monitor with a catalytic converter and a measurement range of 1 ppb-20 ppm. Measurement of ozone was made in the range of 1 ppb-2 ppm using an ozone monitor (Monitor Labs MI. 9810) with UV absorption detection. The solar light intensity was measured in the chamber with a spectral radiometer (scanning double monochromator Bentham TM300) and filterband radiometers were used to measure the photolysis frequencies of j(O¹D) and j(NO₂) during the days of the experiments. The NO₃, ozone concentration, temperature and radiation measurements data were collected and saved by a data acquisition system.

2.2 Procedure and analysis at the Wuppertal laboratory

2.2.1 General

The compounds under investigation were introduced into the evacuated chamber: gas and liquid substances were injected, by means of syringes (gas-tight syringes and microliter syringes), either directly into the reactor or in a stream of gas; in the case of substances with high boiling points, the inlet port to the reactor was also heated. Solid compounds were placed in a glass bulb attached to the injection port of the chamber, they were then heated and flushed into the reaction chamber with diluent gas. The typical initial concentration of the reactants, in the reaction chambers, were 10-15 ppm. The reaction chamber was subsequently filled to
(1000 ± 50) mbar with synthetic air [all the experiments were performed within this pressure range]. Before commencing a measurement, the reaction mixture was kept in the dark for approximately 5 min to allow thorough mixing of the reactants and thermal equilibrium to be established. The experiments were carried out at (298 ± 5) K, where the indicated interval covers the temperature span of all experiments performed in this work.

FTIR spectra were recorded regularly over the wavelength range 690-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) with a resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\). After acquiring a few spectra of the mixture in dark, the photooxidation was initiated by switching on the lamps, the number and the type of the lamps employed differed for the individual experiments according to the required conditions. The integration time of each spectrum and the interval between two spectra were chosen to give 10-20 measurement points over the duration of the experiment.

The photolysis of methyl nitrite in synthetic air was used as the hydroxyl radical source; nitric oxide, NO, was added to the reaction mixture to compensate its loss by the reaction with peroxo radicals formed in photooxidation systems and to suppress the formation of ozone and hence of nitrate radicals:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{ONO} + \text{hv} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{NO} \quad \text{(r. 2.1)} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{HO}_2 \quad \text{(r. 2.2)} \\
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(r. 2.3)}
\end{align*}
\]

Chlorine atoms were generated by the photolysis of molecular chlorine:

\[
\text{Cl}_2 + \text{hv} \ (320 \text{ nm}< \lambda < 480 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow 2 \text{ Cl} \quad \text{(r. 2.4)}
\]

### 2.2.2 Relative rate method

The rate coefficients for the reactions of OH radicals and Cl atoms with the investigated oxygenated compounds were determined using a relative rate method in which the relative disappearance rates of the substrate and of a reference compound, whose rate coefficient with OH radicals or with Cl atoms is reliably known [45, 46], are monitored parallel in the presence of OH radicals or Cl atoms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH}/\text{Cl} + \text{substrate} & \rightarrow \text{products}; \quad k_{\text{substrate}} \\
\text{OH}/\text{Cl} + \text{reference} & \rightarrow \text{products}; \quad k_{\text{ref,reference}}
\end{align*}
\]
Providing that the substrate and the reference are removed solely by reaction with the OH radicals, or Cl atoms then:

$$
\ln \left( \frac{[\text{substrate}]_0}{[\text{substrate}]_t} \right) = \frac{k_{\text{subreact}}}{k_{\text{refreact}}} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{reference}]_0}{[\text{reference}]_t} \right)
$$

where $[\text{substrate}]_0$ and $[\text{reference}]_0$ are the concentrations of the substrate and the reference compound, respectively, at time $t_0$; $[\text{substrate}]_t$ and $[\text{reference}]_t$ are the corresponding concentrations at time $t$; $k_{\text{subreact}}$ and $k_{\text{refreact}}$ are the rate coefficients for the reaction with OH radicals/Cl atoms of the substrate and the reference compound, respectively. Thus, the concentrations of the substrate and the reference as a function of reaction time, plotted as given by Eq. 1, can be used to derive the rate coefficient ratio $k_{\text{subreact}}/k_{\text{refreact}}$. Plots of $\ln \left( \frac{[\text{substrate}]_0}{[\text{substrate}]_t} \right)$ versus $\ln \left( \frac{[\text{reference}]_0}{[\text{reference}]_t} \right)$ should give straight lines with slopes $k_{\text{subreact}}/k_{\text{refreact}}$ and zero intercept. If the value of the rate coefficient of the reference $k_{\text{refreact}}$ is known, then $k_{\text{subreact}}$ can be obtained. Under the experimental conditions, the substrate and/or the reference can additionally undergo photodissociation and may also be lost to the chamber surface. The photostability of each substrate was established by irradiation of substrate-air mixtures in the absence of a radical precursor for a time period longer than that employed in the kinetic experiments. Dark experiments of the substrate-reference-air mixtures were also carried out to investigate the possibility of adsorption on the wall of the chamber. Photolysis and wall loss rate, if any, could then be compared to the extent of the reaction with the radical of interest over the time scale of the experiment. Normally, the references employed did not photolyse and their wall losses were found to be very low, often below the detection limit. For some of the oxygenated compounds investigated in this work the wall losses were relatively high and non-negligible on the time scale of the experiments. Taking into account this first-order process, Eq. I can be rearranged to give [45]:

$$
\ln \left( \frac{[\text{substrate}]_0}{[\text{substrate}]_t} \right) = k_{\text{wall}}^{\text{loss}} \times t = \frac{k_{\text{subreact}}}{k_{\text{refreact}}} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{reference}]_0}{[\text{reference}]_t} \right)
$$

where $t$ is the reaction time and $k_{\text{wall}}^{\text{loss}}$ is the wall loss rate of the substrate.

The errors of the rate coefficients determined in this work are given as twice the standard deviation of the linear regression of the data plotted according to Eq. I or Eq. II plus an
additional 10% uncertainty due to the potential systematic errors associated with the value of
the reference rate coefficient.

The rate coefficients were, typically, measured relative to two different references, thus, the
final values of the rate coefficients are averages of those determined using the two different
reference compounds together with error limits which encompass the extremes of the
individual determinations.

2.2.3 Product analysis

Product studies were carried out mainly in the 405 ℓ reactor, described in paragraph 2.1.1,
using FTIR spectroscopy. Substrates and products were, first of all, identified by comparison
of the infrared spectra of the reaction mixture with those of authentic samples (reference
spectra); the analysis of the complex spectra proceeded, then, by successively subtracting the
characteristic absorptions of identified compounds with the use of calibrated spectra. The
known concentration of the reference spectra and the subtraction factors allowed the
concentration of each identified compound to be determined. The molar formation yield of
each product was, then, derived by plotting the amount of the product formed against the
amount of the substrate consumed (e.g. Figure 2.4).
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Figure 2.4: Determination of the molar formation yields of mono-methyl succinate
(squares), carbon monoxide (circles) and dimethyl oxaloacetate (triangles) formed
from the reaction of dimethyl succinate with Cl atoms. See text.

Least-square analysis of these data led to product formation yields. The indicated error of the
product formation yields is twice the standard deviation of the linear regression combined with
overall uncertainties in the concentration of the reference spectra and in the subtraction
factors.
For some of the identified products, plots of their concentration against the amounts of substrate consumed showed curvature, strongly supporting that secondary reactions of these primary products occurred during the time period of the experiments.

In order to derive the formation yields of these products, their measured concentrations had to be corrected for further reactions, in particular for the reaction with OH radicals. Corrections were performed using Eq. III which is described in detail in [47]:

\[
F = \frac{k_{\text{sub}} - k_{\text{prod}}}{k_{\text{sub}}} \times \frac{1 - \frac{[\text{substrate}]}{[\text{substrate}]_0}}{\left( \frac{[\text{substrate}]}{[\text{substrate}]_0} \right)^{k_{\text{prod}}} - \frac{[\text{substrate}]}{[\text{substrate}]_0}}
\]

(III)

where \( F \) is the multiplicative correction factor, \( k_{\text{sub}} \) and \( k_{\text{prod}} \) are the OH reaction rate coefficients of the substrate and the product, respectively, and \( \frac{[\text{substrate}]}{[\text{substrate}]_0} \) and \( \frac{[\text{substrate}]}{[\text{substrate}]_0} \) are the concentrations of the substrate at time \( t_i \) and \( t_f \), respectively. The corrected product concentrations, \( F \times \frac{[\text{product}]}{[\text{product}]_{\text{measured}}} \), were then plotted against the amount of the substrate consumed to derive molar product formation yield, as above described (e.g. Figure 2.5).
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**Figure 2.5:** Determination of the molar formation yield of butanal formed from the reaction of 1-butanol with OH radicals: the measured concentrations of butanal (■) have been corrected (□) for secondary loss processes. See text.

In the case of \( C_1 \)- and \( C_2 \)-primary products, secondary formation and removal processes occurring in the system under the experimental conditions employed rendered the procedure for the correction of the measured concentrations difficult. Therefore, the molar formation yield of the products was derived from the uncorrected concentrations plotted against the
consumption of the substrate in the early stage of the reaction, when the secondary processes can largely be neglected.

2.3 EUPHORE-experiments

The product investigations on the OH initiated oxidation of 1-pentanol and 1-butanol were carried out in March 1999 and March 2000, respectively, in smog chamber B of the European photoreactor facility in Valencia (Spain).

Prior to an experiment, the chamber valve, kept opened during the night allowing purified air to be flushed through the chamber, was closed and the chamber was filled to ca. 0.1-0.2 mbar over atmospheric pressure. In order to characterise the initial conditions of the clean chamber, a FTIR background spectrum, derived from 575 co-added spectra (575 scans corresponding to 15 min), and a GC-PID background chromatogram were acquired; ozone and NO\textsubscript{x} background concentrations were also measured. In the experiments performed on the degradation mechanisms of the two alcohols, OH radicals were produced by the photolysis of HONO:

\[
\text{HONO} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO} \quad (r. \ 2.5)
\]

HONO, synthesised as described in Annex D, was first introduced into the chamber in an air stream for about 30 min. During the addition, the NO\textsubscript{x} concentration was measured by the Eco Physics and the Monitor Labs NO\textsubscript{x} monitors, which allowed the HONO concentration in the chamber to be estimated: in the absence of any VOC, the Eco Physics instrument provides, as NO\textsubscript{x} signal, the sum of the NO\textsubscript{2} and HONO concentration and the Monitor Labs instrument, as NO\textsubscript{x} signal, the actual NO\textsubscript{2} concentration. Typical initial concentration of HONO as well as of NO and NO\textsubscript{2} were 40-80 ppb (see Annex D).

After addition of HONO, the substrates, 1-butanol or 1-pentanol, were added to the chamber by gently heating the required amount in a stream of air entering the chamber. The typical initial concentrations of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol were approximately 400-800 ppb. To compensate for the consumption of HONO during the 2-5 h duration of the experiments, addition of HONO was repeated twice during the course of the experiments to maintain the level of OH radicals and also the conversion of RO\textsubscript{2}· to RO· via RO\textsubscript{2}· + NO reactions.

During the experiments, the chamber was continuously refilled with clean air, to compensate for pressure losses due to small leaks and sampling. SF\textsubscript{6}, sulphur hexafluoride, was added to the reaction mixture in order to determine the dilution rate of the mixture since SF\textsubscript{6} is
unreactive and stable at ambient temperatures to all radical processes and is not photolysed by sunlight. After allowing for mixing of the reactants, photooxidation was initiated by opening the light-tight protective housing of the chamber and exposing the photoreactor contents to sunlight.

During the experiments 15-30 spectra were recorded, typically a spectrum was collected every 10 min, each with an integration time of 3-5 min; gas samples of the reaction mixtures were collected for GC-PID and HPLC analysis every 10 min and every 20 min, respectively. The intensity of the sunlight, temperature, ozone and NO concentrations were continuously monitored by a data acquisition computer and stored in digital format for further processing. During the experiments, the analysis of the alcohol and carbonyl products were principally performed by gas chromatography with photo-ionisation detection (GC-PID) since this analytical technique provided a better separation of the aldehydic products than that offered by FTIR, allowing a more accurate identification and quantification of the reaction products. Calibration experiments were, therefore, carried out to derive the GC-PID response factors and repeated in each campaign to check for possible changes due to variation in the intensity of the photo-ionisation source. Weighed amounts of a compound were introduced into the chamber and the concentration determined by FTIR reference spectra and by the HPLC response factor obtained with authentic standards. The measured concentration divided by the area of the corresponding GC peak gives the GC-PID response factor for that compound.

In order to derive molar formation yields of the oxidation products, secondary loss processes of both substrates and products had to be taken into account.

The dilution rate of the chamber was determined from the SF₆ decay monitored during the experiments, by integrating its intense infrared band between 935-955 cm⁻¹; this first order loss process can be described as follows:

\[
\ln\left(\frac{[SF_6]}{[SF_6]_0}\right) = k_d \times t \quad \text{(IV)}
\]

where \([SF_6]_0\) and \([SF_6]\) are the concentration of \(SF_6\) at time \(t = 0\) and time \(t\), respectively, and \(k_d\) is the dilution rate. At the time of the experiments, the chamber had a leak rate of ca. 3% h⁻¹.
The pure chemical loss of the substrate was derived by correcting the observed decay for the dilution rate using Eq. V:

\[
c_{t_2}^{\text{chem}} = c_{t_1}^{\text{chem}} \times \frac{c_{t_2}^{\text{meas}}}{c_{t_1}^{\text{meas}}} \times e^{k_o(t_2 - t_1)} \tag{V}
\]

where \(c_{t_2}^{\text{chem}}\) and \(c_{t_1}^{\text{chem}}\) are the concentration of the substrate at times \(t_2\) and \(t_1\), respectively, determined from the chemical reaction with OH radicals; \(c_{t_2}^{\text{meas}}\) and \(c_{t_1}^{\text{meas}}\) are the measured concentration of the substrate \(t_2\) and \(t_1\), respectively. Wall loss of both 1-butanol and 1-pentanol was negligible.

The measured concentration of the products had also to be corrected for further reaction with OH radicals, photolysis and dilution losses. Corrections have been performed using the mathematical procedure described by Tuazon et al. [48] which is based on the reaction sequence:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH} + \text{substrate} & \rightarrow \text{Y (product); } k_1 \quad \text{(r. 2.6)} \\
\text{OH} + \text{product} & \rightarrow \text{secondary products; } k_{\text{OHi}} \quad \text{(r. 2.7)} \\
\text{product} + \text{hv} & \rightarrow \text{secondary products; } k_{\text{hv}} \quad \text{(r. 2.8)} \\
\text{product} & \rightarrow \text{wall loss or dilution; } k_{\text{w}} \quad \text{(r. 2.9)}
\end{align*}
\]

In this sequence, \(Y\) is the formation yield of the primary product from the oxidation of the substrate and \(k_{\text{OHi}}, k_{\text{hv}}, k_{\text{w}}\) and \(k_{\text{w}}\) are the rate coefficients for reaction with OH, photolysis, and wall loss or chamber dilution, respectively.

By making the reasonable assumption that the OH radical concentration was essentially constant over the time intervals between the measurement points, then:

\[
[\text{substrate}]_t = [\text{substrate}]_0 e^{-k_1(\text{OH})(t_2 - t_1)} \tag{VI}
\]

and

\[
[\text{product}]_t = [\text{product}]_0 e^{-(k_{\text{OHi}}(\text{OH}) + k_{\text{hv}} + k_{\text{w}})(t_2 - t_1)} +
\frac{Y(t_2 - t_1)}{(k_{\text{OHi}} - k_{\text{w}})(\text{OH})} [\text{substrate}]_0 k_1 [\text{OH}] e^{-k_1(\text{OH})(t_2 - t_1)} - e^{-(k_{\text{OHi}}(\text{OH}) + k_{\text{hv}} + k_{\text{w}})(t_2 - t_1)} \tag{VII}
\]
where \([\text{substrate}]_i\), \([\text{product}]_i\), and \([\text{substrate}]_j\), \([\text{product}]_j\) are the substrate and product concentrations measured at times \(t_i\) and \(t_j\), respectively, and \(Y_{t_j-t_i}\) is the formation yield of the individual product over the period time \(t_j - t_i\). The OH radical concentration was calculated from the decay of the substrate using the rate coefficient for the reaction of the substrate with OH radicals, according to the following equation:

\[
[\text{OH}] = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{[\text{substrate}]_j}{[\text{substrate}]_i}\right)}{k_{f,OH} \times (t_j - t_i)}
\]  

(VIII)

Use of the rate coefficients \(k_{f,OH}\), \(k_b\), and \(k_f\), determined in this study or found in the literature, together with Eq. VI and Eq. VII allowed \(Y_{t_j-t_i}\) to be calculated. The product concentrations, corrected for reaction with OH radicals, photolysis and wall loss and/or dilution, are then given by

\[
[\text{product}]_{i,j}^{corr} = [\text{product}]_{i,j}^{corr} + Y_{t_j-t_i} \times ([\text{substrate}]_j - [\text{substrate}]_i)
\]  

(IX)

where \([\text{product}]_{i,j}^{corr}\) and \([\text{product}]_{i,j}^{corr}\) are the corrected product concentrations at times \(t_i\) and \(t_j\), respectively. The corrected product concentrations were, then, plotted against the consumed amount of the substrate to derive the molar formation yield of the products.
Chapter 3

Kinetic study

All the rate coefficients reported in this work were determined using the relative rate method (see paragraph 2.2.2) at (1000 ± 50) mbar and (296 ± 2) K. Test experiments were performed both for the investigated compounds and the references to check that unwanted adsorptions on the wall of the reactor, dark reaction and photolysis were not occurring under the experimental conditions employed. Normally, these loss processes were found to be negligible on the time scale of the experiments with three exceptions: dimethyl succinate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl adipate showed relatively fast wall adsorptions. These losses were taken into account using Eq. II to determine the corrected reaction rate coefficients. Typically two different reference compounds were employed and, at least, two experimental runs were performed in order to verify the reliability of the results and their sensitivity to different references and different concentrations. The reaction rate coefficient of the reference compounds used in the present kinetic study and the experimental conditions employed are reported in Annex B, Table B.1 and Table B.2.

3.1 Hydroxyl radical reaction rate coefficients

3.1.1 Results

Rate coefficients have been measured for the reaction of hydroxyl radicals with 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, methyl propionate (MPR), dimethyl succinate (DBE-4), dimethyl glutarate (DBE-5), and dimethyl adipate (DBE-6). The observed losses of each substrate compound versus those of the reference compounds in the presence of hydroxyl radicals are plotted in Figure 3.1, according either to Eq. I or Eq. II.
Figure 3.1: Plot of the kinetic data according either to Eq. I or Eq. II for the gas-phase reactions of the OH radical with the investigated oxygenated organic compounds. The data for DBE-4 relative to \( s \)-butane have been displaced vertically by 0.04 unit for clarity.
Reasonably good straight line plots were observed and least-square analysis of these data led to the rate coefficient ratios (± 2σ) given in Table 3.1. The rate coefficient ratios were placed on an absolute basis by using the OH reaction rate coefficient of the reference compounds, listed in Annex B, Table B.1. The resulting rate coefficients are also reported in Table 3.1; the quoted errors include 2σ from the statistical analysis plus an estimated additional systematic error of 10% due to uncertainties in the reference rate coefficients.

Table 3.1: Rate coefficient ratios $k_{\text{substrate}} / k_{\text{ref}}$ and rate coefficients $k_{\text{OH}}$ for the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with the oxygenated organic compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>$k_{\text{substrate}} / k_{\text{ref}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{OH}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_6\text{O}$</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>$\text{C}<em>\text{H}</em>{10}\text{O}$</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_6\text{O}$</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_6\text{O}_4$</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_8\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_8\text{O}_4$</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_6\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_8\text{O}_4$</td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_6\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{C}_\text{H}_6\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicated errors are two least-squares standard deviations.

$^{a}$ in 10$^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ units.

* Indicated errors include the estimated overall uncertainties in rate coefficients of the reference, $k_{\text{ref}}$.

### 3.1.2 Discussion

As seen from Table 3.1, the results obtained for each individual compound using different reference compounds showed negligible differences. Therefore, the final values of the hydroxyl reaction rate coefficients, reported in Table 3.2, are averages of the individual determinations together with error limits which encompass the extremes of the individual determinations. The quoted errors reflect the accuracy of the measurements. The results can be compared with the corresponding values found in the literature (Table 3.2).
Table 3.2: Rate coefficients (in cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ units) for the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with the investigated oxygenated organic compounds. Comparison of the present results with literature data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>this work</th>
<th>literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>(8.28 ± 0.85) × 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>(8.31 ± 0.63) × 10$^{12}$ [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7.80 ± 0.20) × 10$^{12}$ [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(8.56 ± 0.70) × 10$^{12}$ [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>(1.11 ± 0.11) × 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>(1.08 ± 0.11) × 10$^{11}$ [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.20 ± 0.16) × 10$^{11}$ [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.05 ± 0.13) × 10$^{11}$ [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>(9.29 ± 1.13) × 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>(10.3 ± 0.4) × 10$^{13}$ [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(8.31 ± 0.87) × 10$^{13}$ [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>(1.95 ± 0.27) × 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>(1.4 ± 0.6) × 10$^{12}$ [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>(2.18 ± 0.30) × 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>(3.3 ± 1.1) × 10$^{12}$ [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>(3.73 ± 0.59) × 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>(8.4 ± 2.5) × 10$^{12}$ [27]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 lists values of the rate coefficients for the reaction of hydroxyl radicals with 1-butanol and 1-pentanol from previous room temperature determinations [22, 23]. An unit-weighted least-squares average of the absolute and relative rate coefficients reported in the literature (see Table 3.2) led to the recommendations of $k_{(\text{OH} + 1\text{-butanol})} = 8.57 × 10^{12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_{(\text{OH} + 1\text{-pentanol})} = 1.11 × 10^{11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, both with an estimated overall uncertainty of ± 35% given by Atkinson [21]. There is excellent agreement, within the combined experimental uncertainties, between the results of all the studies reported in the literature and those of the present work for the reaction of OH radicals with 1-butanol and 1-pentanol [49].

As shown in Table 3.2, the rate coefficient measured in this work for the OH + methyl propionate reaction is in good agreement with the absolute rate coefficients $k_{(\text{OH} + \text{methyl propionate})} = (10.3 ± 0.4) × 10^{13}$ and $k_{(\text{OH} + \text{methyl propionate})} = (8.31 ± 0.87) × 10^{13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ reported by Wallington et al. [25] and Le Calvé et al. [26], respectively. In light of the excellent agreement between the three studies, it is recommended that the average of the three determinations, $k_{(\text{OH} + \text{methyl propionate})} = 9.3 × 10^{13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, is used in models of the atmospheric chemistry of methyl propionate [50].

The values from Aschmann and Atkinson [27] are the only ones reported in the literature for the reaction of the three dibasic esters with OH radicals. While the rate coefficients measured by Aschmann and Atkinson for DBE-4 and DBE-5 are in reasonable agreement with the
present values, there is a significant discrepancy, of approximately a factor 2, in the rate coefficient for DBE-6. The reason for this large difference is, presently, not clear but could lie in the analytical procedure used by Aschmann and Atkinson, in which gas samples, collected from the reaction chamber onto a Tenax-solid adsorbent, were subsequently thermally desorbed and analysed by gas chromatography. This method could, potentially, lead to losses of the sticky substrate compounds, especially of those with higher boiling point, resulting in larger rate coefficients for the investigated hydroxyl radical reactions. However, the present measurements are also affected by uncertainties since the smooth infrared features of the longer DBE esters make a very accurate analysis of the reaction spectra quite difficult. Because of the difficulties in measuring these compounds the agreement between the values measured here and those of Aschmann and Atkinson is acceptable.

**Atmospheric implication**

The atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds is initiated by reaction with OH radicals, Cl atoms, ozone, NO₃ radicals, photolysis and wet/dry deposition. As discussed by Notario et al. [51] and Wallington et al. [52], for typical tropospheric concentrations of Cl atoms and OH radicals, the Cl reaction is a negligible loss process for alcohols and esters compared to the OH reaction. Although no kinetic data are available for the gas-phase reactions of O₃ with primary alcohols, the reactions are expected to be of negligible importance; further, since ozone does not react to a significance extent with aldehydes and ketones [53], reaction of O₃ with esters is also not expected to be important. Similarly, the gas-phase reaction of the investigated compounds with NO₃ radicals are expected to be extremely slow. Data of Calvert and Pitts [54] indicate that photolysis of alcohols and esters are only relevant below 200 nm and 240 nm, respectively, and will not be of any significance in the lower troposphere. Further, there were no indication of significant photolysis losses of these compounds in the kinetic experiments performed in this study. Since the chemical degradation processes mentioned above – reaction with Cl atoms, O₃, NO₃ radicals, and photolysis – are considered to be of no importance as tropospheric loss processes for the investigated compounds, their overall tropospheric lifetimes are expected to be dominated mainly by reactions with OH radicals. The atmospheric concentration levels of hydroxyl radicals exhibit a temporal, geographical and meteorological variability. The diurnally, seasonally and annually averaged global tropospheric hydroxyl radical concentration is ca. 1.0 × 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³ (24 h average) [55]. The OH reaction rate coefficients measured here can be
combined with the above reported hydroxyl radical concentration, to obtain estimates of their
tropospheric lifetimes (Table 3.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>$\tau$ in days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: Tropospheric lifetimes with respect to reaction with OH radicals.

The tropospheric lifetimes estimated for 1-butanol and 1-pentanol due to reaction with OH are around 1 day. Both alcohols are destroyed relatively rapidly close to the emission source; however, meteorological conditions and local release patterns may also determine their geographical distribution (local-scale transport). 1-Butanol and 1-pentanol have Henry’s law coefficients of 128 M atm$^{-1}$ [56] and 80 M atm$^{-1}$ [57], respectively and are readily soluble in water, 77g/L and 27g/L at 20°C for 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, respectively, suggesting that wet deposition may also be an important sink process for these species.

Esters show longer tropospheric chemical lifetimes ranging from a few days for the dibasic esters to 12 days for methyl propionate. These species survive long enough to become well dispersed from the source origin with regional scale transport being likely; urban emissions of these compounds are, therefore, unlikely to contribute to local ozone and photooxidant formation. The low Henry’s law coefficients of esters, $K_{H} = 8$ M atm$^{-1}$, $K_{H} = 6.1$ M atm$^{-1}$ for methyl acetate and methyl propionate, respectively [58], probably precludes wet deposition from being a significant atmospheric loss mechanism for methyl propionate and the dibasic esters.

While the rate coefficients for the gas-phase reactions of the OH radical with alcohols and esters determine their tropospheric chemical lifetimes, the ozone formation arising from the photooxidation of these compounds in the troposphere also depends on the mechanism and products of the reactions subsequent to the initial OH radical attack. In particular, the amounts of NO converted to NO$_2$, organic nitrate formed and OH radicals regenerated during the reactions subsequent to the initial OH attack determine the importance of their ozone formation potentials. These aspects will be discussed in Chapter 7 on the basis of the results of
the product studies on the atmospheric degradation of the two alcohols (1-pentanol and 1-butanol) and two esters (methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate).

**SAR method**

On the basis of the available kinetic data for gas-phase OH radical reactions, Atkinson and Kwok [28, 29] developed a method to estimate rate coefficients for the reactions of OH radicals with organic compounds at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. This so-called **SAR (Structure–Activity Relationship)** method is based on the observation that the gas-phase OH radical reaction with organic compounds proceeds by a number of separate processes which are assumed to be additive and can, therefore, be dealt with individually. For the organic compounds whose OH radical reaction proceeds by a H-atom abstraction from C–H and O–H bonds – the compounds investigated in this work belong to this case – the calculation of overall reaction rate coefficient is based upon the estimation and the addition of group rate coefficients for the H-atom abstraction from the different –CH₃, –CH₂–, >CH– and –OH groups in the molecule. The –CH₃, –CH₂–, >CH– and –OH rate coefficients depend on the identity of the substituents attached to these groups, with:

\[
k(CH_3–X) = k_{vim} \cdot F(X)
\]

\[
k(X–CH_2–Y) = k_{sec} \cdot F(X) \cdot F(Y)
\]

\[
k(X–CH–Y, –Z) = k_{sub} \cdot F(X) \cdot F(Y) \cdot F(Z)
\]

where \(k_{vim}\), \(k_{sec}\) and \(k_{sub}\) are the rate coefficient per –CH₃, –CH₂– and >CH– group for a “standard” substituent \(X = Y = Z = –CH_3\), and \(F(X), F(Y)\) and \(F(Z)\) are the substituent factors for the corresponding substituents.

The available kinetic data for gas-phase OH radical reactions enabled group rate coefficients \(k_{vim}, k_{sec}\) and \(k_{sub}\) to be derived: \(k_{vim} = 0.136 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\); \(k_{sec} = 0.934 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) and \(k_{sub} = 1.94 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) [28, 29]. In addition, the rate coefficient for the H-atom abstraction from the –OH group has been derived to be \(k_{obs} (–OH) = 0.14 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\). The substituent factors used for estimating the OH reaction rate coefficients of the compounds investigated in this work are the following: \(F(–CH_3) = 1; F(–CH_2–) = 1.23; F(–OH) = 3.5\). In Table 3.4 the experimental rate coefficients from the present work are compared with calculated values using the **SAR** technique.
Table 3.4: Comparison between experimental and calculated rate coefficients ($\delta$AR-$I$, -$2$, and -$3$) for the reactions of OH radicals with the investigated oxygenated organic compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>this work</th>
<th>$\delta$AR-$I$</th>
<th>$\delta$AR-$2$</th>
<th>$\delta$AR-$3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>(8.28 ± 0.85) $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>6.92 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>$d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>(1.11 ± 0.11) $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>8.33 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>$d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>(9.29 ± 1.13) $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>6.74 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>10.37 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>8.06 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>(1.95 ± 0.27) $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>1.15 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>2.69 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>1.71 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>(2.18 ± 0.30) $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>2.56 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>1.53 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>5.67 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>(3.73 ± 0.59) $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>3.97 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>1.01 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>6.02 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $F(-\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)}\text{O}) = F(-\text{CH}_2) = 1.23$.  
* $F(-\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)}\text{O}) = F(-\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)}\text{-R}) = 3.9$.  
* $F(-\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)}\text{O}) = 2.2$ [26].  
* $d$ no changes.

Fair agreement is found between the OH radical reaction rate coefficients measured for 1-butanol and 1-pentanol and the calculated values. The slight discrepancy – the measured rate coefficients are ca. 15 to 25% higher than the calculated ones – probably indicates that the OH functional group has a more significant effect on the –CH$_2$– groups, specially in $\alpha$ and $\beta$ positions, than that assumed in the $\delta$AR estimation method.

For the estimation of the OH radical reaction rate coefficients of the esters, additional factors were employed: $F(-\text{OC(O)}\text{R}) = 1.6$ and $F(-\text{C(O)}\text{OR}) = 0.31$ [59]. This latter value was used instead of the value $F(-\text{C(O)}\text{OR}) = 0.74$ derived by Kwok and Atkinson [28, 29] since it is a more definitive derivation of $F(-\text{C(O)}\text{OR})$ from recent measurements of rate coefficients for a series of lactates.

In the calculation (Table 3.4, column $\delta$AR-$I$) the substituent factor $F(-\text{CH}_2$–C(O)OR) is considered to be equal to $F(-\text{CH}_2$–) = 1.23. It is assumed that the –C(O)OR group has no influence on the CH$_3$ or CH$_2$ group in $\beta$ position at the acyl end of the ester. As shown in Table 3.4, the OH reaction rate coefficients calculated for the esters and reported in column $\delta$AR-$I$ are in reasonable agreement with the measured values.

It can also be assumed that the –C(O)OR group has the same influence on the CH$_3$ or CH$_2$ group in $\beta$ position in the acyl end of the ester as the ketone group –C(O)R; i.e. $F(-\text{CH}_2$–C(O)OR) = $F(-\text{CH}_2$–C(O)R) = 3.9 (Table 3.4, column $\delta$AR-$2$). In this case, all the calculated values, listed in column $\delta$AR-$2$, exceed the experimental ones. On the basis of this observation, Le Calvé et al. [26], using a kinetic database for aliphatic acetates and methyl
esters, derived a value $F(-\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OR}) = 2.2$ (Table 3.4, column $\Delta A R-5$), which is intermediate between the values used for the calculation in column $\Delta A R-1$ and $\Delta A R-2$ of Table 3.4. However, an enhancement of the C–H bonds reactivity at the $\beta$ position to a carbonyl $\text{C} = \text{O}$ produces a slightly better fit between calculated and experimental rate coefficients only for the methyl propionate and for dimethyl succinate (Table 3.4); the significant discrepancy shown for dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl adipate suggests the need of a larger database on esters and diesters than that currently available in order to be able to better define the reactivity of the $\text{CH}_2$ group at the $\beta$ position to the carbonyl group in ester molecules.

In conclusion, the *Structure–Activity Relationship* method appears to be relatively effective in predicting rate coefficients for the reaction of OH radicals with alcohols and esters and can be used to approximately estimate the relative importance of the attack of the OH radical at the individual sites of the molecule.

### 3.2 Chlorine atom reaction rate coefficients

#### 3.2.1 Results

Rate coefficients have been measured for the reaction of chlorine atoms with methyl propionate (MPR) and its major degradation products – propionic formic anhydride (PRFA), propionic acid (PRA) and methyl pyruvate (MPYR) – and with the three dibasic esters dimethyl succinate (DBE-4), dimethyl glutarate (DBE-5), dimethyl adipate (DBE-6). The observed losses of each substrate compound versus those of reference compounds in the presence of chlorine atoms are plotted in Figure 3.2, according to either Eq. I or Eq. II, depending on whether or not correction for wall loss was necessary.

![Figure 3.2: Plot of the kinetic data according to either Eq. I or Eq. II for the gas-phase reactions of Cl atoms with the investigated oxygenated organic compounds.](image-url)
Reasonably good straight line plots were observed and least-square analysis of these data led to the rate coefficient ratios (± 2σ) given in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5: Rate coefficient ratios \( \frac{k_{\text{substrate}}}{k_{\text{ref}}} \) and rate coefficients \( k_{\text{Cl}} \) (in \( 10^{-12} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) units) for the gas-phase reactions of Cl atoms with the investigated oxygenated organic compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>( \frac{k_{\text{substrate}}}{k_{\text{ref}}} )</th>
<th>( k_{\text{Cl}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.02</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic formic anhydride</td>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>methyl formiate</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid</td>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>methyl formiate</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.20</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl pyruvate</td>
<td>methyl formiate</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cl-methane</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{iPr-Cl} )-propane</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.58 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.08</td>
<td>17.7 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{iPr-Cl} )-propane</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>20.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>7.80 ± 0.30</td>
<td>62.7 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{iPr-Cl} )-propane</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.10</td>
<td>58.8 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a} \) Indicated errors are two least-squares standard deviations.
\( ^{b} \) Indicated errors include the estimated overall uncertainties in rate coefficients of the reference, \( k_{\text{ref}} \).

The rate coefficient ratios were placed on an absolute basis using the Cl reaction rate coefficient of the reference compounds, listed in Annex B, Table B.1. The resulting rate coefficients are also reported in Table 3.5; the quoted errors include 2σ from the statistical analysis plus an additional estimated systematic error 10% due to uncertainties in the reference rate coefficients.

### 3.2.2 Discussion

For all the investigated compounds, the results obtained from experiments using different reference compounds showed negligible differences. Therefore, the final values of the Cl reaction rate coefficients, reported in Table 3.6, are averages of the individual determinations together with error limits which encompass the extremes of the individual determinations.
With the exception of methyl propionate, the present work represents the first report of the rate coefficients for the reaction of chlorine atoms with all the investigated compounds [50]. Using an absolute technique, Notario et al. [51] reported \( k_{(C1 + \text{methyl propionate})} = 1.98 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) which is 24% higher than that obtained in this study. Interestingly, Christensen et al. [35] measured a value of \( k_{(C1 + \text{methyl acetate})} \) which was 23% lower than that reported by Notario et al. Langer et al. [60] measured a value of \( k_{(C1 + \text{ethyl acetate})} \) which was 34% lower than that reported by Notario et al. [51], and Wallington et al. [61] measured a value of \( k_{(C1 + \text{methyl formate})} \) which was 23% lower than that reported by Notario et al. [51]. It would appear that Notario et al. [51] have systematically overestimated the reactivity of Cl atoms towards esters.

Table 3.6: Rate coefficients (in cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) units) for the gas-phase reactions of Cl atoms with the investigated oxygenated organic compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>this work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>((1.51 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic formic anhydride</td>
<td>((2.89 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid</td>
<td>((4.72 \pm 0.62) \times 10^{12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl pyruvate</td>
<td>((4.99 \pm 0.96) \times 10^{13})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>((6.79 \pm 0.93) \times 10^{12})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>((1.90 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>((6.08 \pm 0.86) \times 10^{11})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notario et al. [51] have tentatively applied the Structure–Activity Relationship (SAR) method to the reaction of chlorine atoms with a series of esters. The parameters \( \kappa_{\text{prim}} = 3.32 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\); \( \kappa_{\text{ac}} = 8.34 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\); \( \kappa_{\text{en}} = 6.09 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and \( F(-\text{CH}_2) = 1 \) and \( F(-\text{CH} = \) 0.79 were deduced from the existing kinetic database for the gas-phase reactions of Cl atoms with alkanes [62]. The substituent factors specific to the esters were derived from the kinetic data for the Cl atom reaction rate coefficients of a series of esters [51]: \( F(-\text{CH}_2 - \text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3) = 0.46; F(-\text{OC}(\text{O})\text{R}) = 0.05 \) and \( F(-\text{C}(\text{O})) = 0.04 \). The estimation method provides the following rate coefficients (in cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) units):

\( k_{(C1 + \text{methyl propionate})} = 2.03 \times 10^{11} \); \( k_{(C1 + \text{DIB-4})} = 6.39 \times 10^{12} \); \( k_{(C1 + \text{DIB-6})} = 2.62 \times 10^{11} \); \( k_{(C1 + \text{DIB-8})} = 6.90 \times 10^{11} \) for the reaction of chlorine atoms with methyl propionate, dimethyl succinate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl adipate, respectively. The calculated rate coefficients are in reasonable agreement with the measured values.
Chapter 4

Atmospheric oxidation of alcohols

In this work, mechanistic studies were carried out on the atmospheric degradation of two primary alcohols: 1-butanol and 1-pentanol.

The dominant chemical loss process for aliphatic alcohols in the troposphere is by reaction with the OH radical [21, 63]. The OH radical initiated oxidation of both alcohols was performed, under atmospheric conditions and in the presence of NOX, in the EUPHORE outdoor photoreactor [March 1999: 1-pentanol oxidation; March 2000: 1-butanol oxidation]. A few laboratory experiments were also performed in the 480 ℓ reactor in Wuppertal.

In the following section, a general degradation mechanism for both alcohols will be developed, and afterwards, the experimental results will be presented and discussed for the individual alcohols.

4.1 General mechanistic considerations on the degradation of primary alcohols

The OH radical reaction with alcohols proceeds by H-atom abstraction from the various –CH₃, –CH₂– and –OH groups present in the molecule.

The estimation technique (SAR method) of Atkinson and Kwok [28, 29] allows the percentage of OH attack at the individual sites to be approximately estimated. The method clearly indicates that, in the case of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, the H-atom abstraction from the –CH₃ and –OH groups are expected to be of negligible importance, accounting for only 2.4% and 2.5%, respectively, of the overall reaction of 1-butanol with OH radical and for 2% and 1%, respectively, of the overall reaction of 1-pentanol with OH radical.

Thus, the only processes which require consideration in the degradation of the two investigated primary alcohols are the H-atom abstractions from the various –CH₂– groups. In
particular, the present product studies strongly suggest that the main degradation pathway of primary alcohol is hydrogen abstraction from the \(-\text{CH}_2-\) group at the \(\alpha\) position to the \(-\text{OH}\) functional entity due to the activating effect of this group. Furthermore, the reaction pathways involving the H-atom abstraction from \(-\text{CH}_2-\) groups at the \(\beta, \gamma\) etc. positions gradually lose in importance since the activating effect lessens with increasing distance from the functional group.

In the following discussion, the general formula \(R-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\) is used to indicate both investigated primary alcohols, with \(R = \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\) in the case of 1-butanol and with \(R = \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\) in the case of 1-pentanol.

- H-atom abstraction from \(-\text{CH}_2-\) entity in \(\alpha\) position to the \(-\text{OH}\) functional group produces an \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radical:

\[
R-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow R-\text{CH}_2\text{C}^{(-)}\text{HOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (r. 4.1)
\]

The \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radical then reacts rapidly with oxygen to form the corresponding aldehyde in unit yield [21]:

\[
R-\text{CH}_2\text{C}^{(-)}\text{HOH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow R-\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)H} + \text{HO}_2 \quad (r. 4.2)
\]

To determine the role of atmospheric oxygen in the reaction with \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radicals, Baxley and Wells [31] performed studies on the reaction of \(^{18}\text{O}\) labelled 2-butanol with OH. The product analysis showed the formation, among the reaction products, of methyl ethyl ketone which could only be formed by the OH abstraction of the \(\alpha\) hydrogen and the subsequent reaction of the \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radical with \(\text{O}_2\). Methyl ethyl ketone, \(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(^{18}\text{O})\text{CH}_3\), analysed for the presence of \(^{18}\text{O}\) contained only \(^{18}\text{O}\) and no \(^{16}\text{O}\). Similar observations were made for 2-pentanol [31]. Hence, atmospheric oxygen merely abstracts a hydrogen atom from the \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radical and does not attach to form a peroxy radical. Furthermore, the mechanisms proposed by Stemmler et al. in their studies of the reaction of 2-ethoxyethanol [64] and 2-butoxyethanol [65] with OH also support that the reaction of the \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radical with \(\text{O}_2\) proceeds via hydrogen abstraction rather than \(\text{O}_2\) addition, since no other products such as carboxylic acids were found in the product analysis. Consequently, the \(\alpha\) hydroxy alkyl radicals formed in the reaction of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol with OH are also expected to react with oxygen in a similar manner.
Atmospheric oxidation of alcohols

- H-atom abstraction from \(-\text{CH}_2-\) groups at the \(\beta, \gamma\) etc. positions to the \(-\text{OH}\) functional entity produces the corresponding \((\beta, \gamma\) etc.) hydroxy alkyl radicals (I, in Scheme 4.1) which react rapidly under atmospheric conditions solely with \(\text{O}_2\) to form \((\beta, \gamma\) etc.) hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals, \(\text{RO}_2^*\) (II, in Scheme 4.1). The further reaction of the hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals with \(\text{NO}\) leads to the formation of the corresponding \((\beta, \gamma\) etc.) hydroxy alkoxy radical, \(\text{RO}^*\), (III, in Scheme 4.1). The reaction pathways described above are outlined in Scheme 4.1 for H-atom abstraction from \(-\text{CH}_2-\) groups at the \(\beta, \gamma\) etc. positions in the alcohol molecule.

Scheme 4.1: Reaction scheme for the OH radical initiated oxidation of alcohols, R-\(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}:\) H-atom abstraction from \(-\text{CH}_2-\) groups at the \(\beta, \gamma\) etc. positions.

Analogous to the alkoxy radicals formed from the alkanes, the \((\beta, \gamma\) etc.) hydroxy alkoxy radicals can then react with \(\text{O}_2\), unimolecularly decompose into two shorter-chain species, or, if possible, isomerise. These three reactions are shown in Scheme 4.2 for a general hydroxy alkoxy radical.

Bimolecular reaction with \(\text{O}_2\) (channel a, in Scheme 4.2) leads to the formation of a \((\beta, \gamma\) etc.) hydroxy carbonyl and the \(\text{HO}_2\) radical. The decomposition pathway has two possible channels (channels b’ and b”), both yielding an aldehyde and an alkyl radical. The unimolecular isomerisation of alkoxy radicals (channel c) proceeds via a cyclic transition state in which an H-atom is abstracted by the alkoxy oxygen. Because of the ring strain involved, 1,4-H shift isomerisations are calculated to be much less important (by a factor of \(~5 \times 10^3\) at 298 K [66]) than 1,5-H shift isomerisations proceeding through a six-membered, essentially strain-free, transition state.
Scheme 4.2: Tropospheric reactions of $\beta$, $\gamma$ etc. hydroxy alkoxy radicals derived from alcohols.

The relative importance of the reaction pathways for the individual $\beta$, $\gamma$ etc. hydroxy alkoxy radicals described above – reaction with $O_2$, decomposition and isomerisation – will be discussed separately, for 1-pentanol and 1-butanol, on the basis of the results from the present and previous [67, 68, 69] product studies. The empirical estimation method proposed by Atkinson [70, 71, 72] to calculate the rate constants for the alkoxy radical reaction pathways is also applicable to the hydroxy alkoxy radicals formed from the reaction of 1-pentanol and 1-butanol with OH, therefore, these estimation predictions will be compared, in the following discussion, with the experimental data concerning the dominant reaction pathways.
4.2 Atmospheric degradation of 1-pentanol

The products studies on the gas-phase oxidation of 1-pentanol were carried out in the EUPHORE outdoor photoreactor in Valencia/Spain in March 1999. The oxidation of 1-pentanol was initiated by reaction with OH radicals generated by the photolysis of HONO, prepared as described in Annex D. To compensate for the consumption of HONO during the 3-5 hours duration of the experiments, addition of HONO was normally repeated at least twice to maintain the level of OH radicals and also the conversion of RO₂ to RO via RO₂ + NO reactions. Test experiments on a 1-pentanol-air mixture showed that losses of the compound to the wall of the reactor or via photolysis were negligible compared to the measured decay of 1-pentanol during the experiments. The experimental conditions employed are listed in Annex B, Table B.3.

4.2.1 Results

GC-PID and HPLC analysis of the products formed on irradiation of HONO-1-pentanol-air mixtures showed the formation of pentanal, butanal, propanal, ethanal and formaldehyde among the products. Typical GC-PID chromatograms for the reaction of 1-pentanol + OH radicals are shown in Figure 4.1.

In addition, a product has been tentatively identified as 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone on the basis of a comparison of its HPLC retention time and its infrared spectrum with that of the authentic compound. Vapour samples of 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone were introduced into the evacuated chamber by injecting known amounts of liquid samples of the commercially available compound into a stream of N₂ entering the chamber. The infrared spectra thus acquired showed a relatively rapid (k = 2.19 × 10¹¹ s⁻¹) conversion of the δ hydroxy carbonyl to 4,5-dihydro-2-methyl furan. A calibrated IR spectrum of 4,5-dihydro-2-methyl furan obtained from the authentic sample was used to subtract its IR features from the mixed 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone/4,5-dihydro-2-methyl furan spectrum to derive a semi-quantitative spectrum of 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone.
Figure 4.1: GC-PID chromatograms obtained from a HONO-1-pentanol-air mixture before irradiation (above) and after 100 min irradiation.

Other residual absorptions in the infrared product spectra indicated the possible presence of hydroxy and aldehydic groups such as would be expected from 3-hydroxy propanal. 3-Hydroxy propanal is not commercially available. An infrared spectrum of 3-hydroxy propanal, CHOCH₂CH₂OH, was generated by the 254 nm photolysis of 3-iodo-propanol in the presence of NO at 1000 mbar of air.

\[
egin{align*}
1\text{-CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + O_2 + \text{hv} & \rightarrow 1 + ^\cdot\text{O}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \quad \text{(r. 4.3)} \\
^\cdot\text{O}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{NO} & \rightarrow ^\cdot\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(r. 4.4)} \\
^\cdot\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + O_2 & \rightarrow \text{CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \quad \text{(r. 4.5)}
\end{align*}
\]
Photolysis of 1-CH₃CH₂CH₂OH gives the \(^{1}\)CH₃CH₂CH₂OH radical which after addition of O₂ and reaction with NO leads to the \(^{1}\)OCH₃CH₂CH₂OH alkoxy radical. Since the \(^{1}\)OCH₃CH₂CH₂OH radical cannot undergo isomerisation, there are only two possible fates for it; reaction with O₂ to give 3-hydroxy propanal and elimination of HCHO to give the \(^{1}\)OCH₂CH₂OH radical. The major fate of the \(^{1}\)OCH₃CH₂CH₂OH radical was observed to be the oxygen reaction to form 3-hydroxy propanal which was identified by the virtue of its IR features at 3618, 2825, 2724, 1743 and 1070 cm⁻¹. Decomposition via elimination of HCHO accounted for about 9% of the loss of the \(^{1}\)OCH₂CH₂OH alkoxy radical.

Butanal, propanal, ethanal and HCHO could also be formed in secondary reactions of some of the primary aldehydic products. However, under the conditions employed in the experiments, the contribution from secondary reactions is probably very minor. With the high NOₓ concentrations employed in the experiments, the main fate of the alkyl peroxy radicals, formed in the degradation reactions of the higher aldehydes, will be formation of the corresponding stable peroxy acyl nitrate and not a lower aldehyde.

To derive the formation yields of the aldehydic products, their measured concentrations had to be corrected for further reaction with OH radicals, photolysis and dilution losses. Corrections were performed using the procedure [48] described in section 2.3. Rate coefficients for reaction of OH radicals with the aldehydic products were taken from the literature [72, 73]. The following values of \( k_{\text{OH}} \) (in units of 10⁻¹¹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) were used: pentanal, 2.96 ± 0.39; butanal, 2.06 ± 0.30; propanal, 1.71 ± 0.24; and ethanol, 1.22 ± 0.27. Photolysis frequencies for the aldehydes, \( k_{\text{hv}} \), were taken from the literature [74]. The mean of \( f/(\text{NO}_x) \), measured during the experiments, was used as an indicator of the light intensity, in order to select the frequencies. The following values of \( k_{\text{hv}} \) (in units of 10⁻⁸ s⁻¹) were employed: pentanal, 2.20 ± 0.09; butanal, 0.55 ± 0.03; propanal, 1.04 ± 0.05; and ethanol, 0.29 ± 0.01.

The correction factors, \( [\text{RHO}]^{p-x} / [\text{RHO}]^{p-x} \), were ≤3.5 for pentanal, ≤1.9 for butanal, ≤1.7 for propanal and ≤1.8 for ethanal. The estimated overall uncertainties in the OH reaction rate coefficient of 1-pentanol and in the rate coefficients for the reaction with OH and photolysis of the products led to maximum uncertainties in the correction factors of <± 15% for the formation of pentanal, butanal and ethanol and ± 6% for the formation of propanal.

The corrected aldehyde concentrations are plotted against the amount of 1-pentanol consumed in Figure 4.2. The measurement errors were principally caused by the low concentration of 1-pentanol employed in the experiments and consequently low concentrations of the products.
The random scatter of the data plotted in Figure 4.2 reflects the extent of these measurement errors in both, $\Delta \left[1 - \text{pentanol} \right]$ and $\left[ \text{RHO} \right]^{c_{\sigma}}$.

**Figure 4.2**: Plots of pentanal, butanal, propanal and ethanal uncorrected (■) and corrected (□) for reaction with OH radicals and photolysis (see text) against the amount of 1-pentanol consumed.
The corrected values for the formation yields are given in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Product formation yields from the gas-phase reaction of the OH radical with 1-pentanol in the presence of NO₃.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Formation yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pentanal</td>
<td>0.405 ± 0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanal</td>
<td>0.161 ± 0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanal</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanal</td>
<td>0.181 ± 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.251 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-yield</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The molar formation yield of 0.251 ± 0.013 given for HCHO was derived from the uncorrected concentrations plotted against the consumption of 1-pentanol in the early stage of the reaction, when secondary processes can largely be neglected. The indicated errors in Table 4.1 are 2 least-squares standard deviations of the data shown in Figure 4.2 combined with a contribution for the overall uncertainties in the alcohol and carbonyl GC-PID calibration factors and in the correction factors (see above).

The carbonyl products observed and quantified in this work account for 70 ± 14 %C of the OH radical reaction with 1-pentanol.

4.2.2 Discussion: mechanistic considerations based on SAR and the product yield data

Using the Structure–Activity Relationship (SAR) technique [28, 29] it can be estimated that the relative importance of OH attack at the various –CH₃, –CH₂– and –OH groups of 1-pentanol is: from the –OH group, 1%; from the –CH₂– group at the 𝛼 position 49%; from the –CH₂– group at the 𝛽 position and 𝛾 position 17%; from the –CH₃ group at the δ position 14%; and from the –CH₃ group 2%.

As discussed in section 4.1, the 𝛼 hydroxy alkyl radical, formed by H-atom abstraction from the –CH₂– group at the 𝛼 position, reacts with O₂ to form pentanal in unit yield [21]:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(')\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CHO} + \text{HO}_2
\]

(r. 4.6)
The hydroxy alkyl radicals (I, in Scheme 4.1) formed after H-atom abstraction from the –CH₂– group at the β, γ and δ positions will add O₂ to form hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals (II, in Scheme 4.1) which can react with NO or NO₂. Addition of NO₂ will form thermally unstable hydroxy alkyl peroxy nitrates. In the presence of NO, the hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals form hydroxy alkyl nitrates or hydroxy alkoxy radicals (III, in Scheme 4.1) plus NO₂. The contribution of the channel forming organic nitrates in these RO₂⁻ + NO reactions is considered to be small (≤6%). In other studies [67, 72], it has been found that, at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, hydroxy alkyl nitrate formation from the reaction of C₄-hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals with NO is approximately half of the alkyl nitrate formation yields from the reactions of C₄-alkyl peroxy radical with NO. The yield of pentyl nitrate [75], relative to the n-pentane consumed in CH₅ONO-NO-n-pentane air irradiations, is 0.117 ± 0.013.

The further expected reactions of the alkoxy radicals are outlined in Scheme 4.3, Scheme 4.4 and Scheme 4.5. In these reaction schemes, the alkyl and alkyl peroxy radicals have been omitted for clarity and only the reactions of the alkoxy and acyl radicals involved are shown.

The β hydroxy alkoxy radical (β, in Scheme 4.3) formed after the H-atom abstraction from the –CH₂– group at the β position (Scheme 4.3) can react with O₂ (channel a), decompose (channel b) or isomerise via a 1,5-H shift through a six-membered transition state (channel c). The alkoxy radical ’OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH(OH)CH₂OH, formed after the isomerisation reaction, is predicted to undergo a further isomerisation, significantly more rapid than the first isomerisation, to give 1,5-dihydroxy-2-pentanone, HOCH₂CH₂CH₂C(O)CH₂OH [21]. However, the isomerisation reaction, which involves an H-atom abstraction from a –CH₂ group, is expected to be minor [68, 69]. Of the remaining pathways, the experimental data of the present study strongly support that decomposition of the β hydroxy alkoxy radical to butanal and HCHO will dominate over reaction with oxygen. Considering that the β hydroxy alkoxy radical is calculated to account for 17% of the overall reaction of 1-pentanol with OH and that butanal is identified with a molar formation yield of 16.1 ± 3.7%, this implies that decomposition is the dominant reaction pathway of the β hydroxy alkoxy (β, in Scheme 4.3). The present results are in good agreement with the experimental data of previous studies [68, 69].
Atmospheric oxidation of alcohols

Scheme 4.3: Reactions of the β-hydroxy alkoxy radical: this radical accounts for an estimated 17% of the overall reaction of 1-pentanol with OH.

On the basis of the enthalpy for the O₂ reaction and decomposition of the CH₃CH₂CH₂CH(O')CH₂OH alkoxy radical, and using the estimation method of Atkinson [70, 71], decomposition of the β-hydroxy alkoxy radical is predicted to dominate over the reaction with oxygen with a estimated decomposition rate k₀ of 1.0 × 10⁶ s⁻¹ [76] compared to a O₂ reaction rate k₀₂ [O₂] of 4.1 × 10⁴ s⁻¹, at 298 K [76]. The isomerisation rate constant, kₑₚₑ, is calculated to be 2.0 × 10⁵ s⁻¹. This would indicate that decomposition and isomerisation of the β-hydroxy alkoxy radical (β, in Scheme 4.3) may well be of comparable importance at room temperature. There are, therefore, quantitative differences between the calculated ratio kₑₚₑ/k₀ = 2 [76] and the experimental ratio kₑₚₑ/k₀ ≈ 0.2 as derived from previous studies [68, 69] and supported by the present experimental observations.

The γ-hydroxy alkoxy radical (γ, in Scheme 4.4) formed after the H-atom abstraction from the –CH₃ group at the γ position (Scheme 4.4) cannot undergo isomerisation via a six-membered transition state, and hence reaction with O₂ and decomposition (for which there are two
channels) are the only possible pathways. The two decomposition channels can lead to the formation of either propanal and the \( \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \) radical (channel \( b' \)) or 3-hydroxy propanal and the \( \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2 \) radical (channel \( b'' \)). A previous study [77] has indicated that the fate of the \( \cdot \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \) alkoxy radical is 82% decomposition to form HCHO, as a result of the "hot alkoxy radical effect". The other possible pathway of the \( \cdot \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \) radical is reaction with \( \text{O}_2 \) (18%) forming glycolaldehyde, \( \text{CHOCH}_2\text{OH} \). Glycolaldehyde formation was not observed using any of the analytical techniques (GC-PID, HPLC and FTIR absorption spectroscopy) indicating that its yield must be very low. Under atmospheric conditions, reaction with \( \text{O}_2 \) to form ethanol is the sole loss process of the ethyl radical [21, 78].

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{O}_2 + \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{CHOCH}_2\text{OH} + \cdot \text{O}_2 \\
\text{O}_2 + \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \cdot \text{O}_2 \\
\end{align*} \]

Scheme 4.4: Reactions of the \( \gamma \) hydroxy alkoxy radical: this radical accounts for an estimated 17% of the overall reaction of 1-pentanol with OH.

Residual absorptions in the infrared product spectra indicate the possible presence of hydroxy and aldehydic groups such as would be expected from 3-hydroxy propanal. Unfortunately, the multitude of all possible hydroxy carbonyl products formed in the system made a reliable identification of 3-hydroxy propanal difficult and speculative.

For unimolecular decompositions of hydroxy alkoxy radicals, the decomposition rate constants, \( k_{ib} \), are given by the Arrhenius expression:

\[ k_d = A_d e^{-\frac{\Delta V R T}{d}} \text{ s}^{-1} \]  

with \( A_d = 2 \times 10^{14} \times d \text{ s}^{-1} \)

(X)  

(XI)
$A_d$ is the pre-exponential factor, $d$ is the reaction path degeneracy, $R$ is the ideal gas constant, $T$ the temperature in Kelvin and $E_d$ is the Arrhenius activation energy for the alkoxy radical decomposition reaction in kcal mol$^{-1}$. The observations of Choo and Benson [79] support that the energetics of alkoxy radical decompositions depend on the specific alkyl leaving group and are governed by the following expression:

$$E_d = a + b \Delta H_d, \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$$

(XII)

where $\Delta H_d$ is the decomposition enthalpy in kcal mol$^{-1}$. The activation energy is dependent on the specific alkyl leaving group, represented in Eq. XII by parameter $a$. Based on the literature data for the decomposition reactions of alkoxy radicals [72, 76], Atkinson extended the previous approach of Choo and Benson [79] and derived values for $a$ and $b$:

$$E_d = [2.4 \times (\text{I.P.}) - 8.1] + 0.36 \Delta H_d, \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$$

(XIII)

where the value of $a$ is correlated with the ionisation potential, I.P., in eV of the alkyl radical leaving-group and $b = 0.36$.

For the two leaving radicals, CH$_3$CH$_2^{*}$ and CH$_2$CH$_2$OH, involved in the $\gamma$ hydroxy alkoxy radical decompositions the following values of $a$ (in kcal mol$^{-1}$) are reported [80]: ethyl radical, CH$_3$CH$_2^{*}$, 11.4; and general primary alkyl radicals, RCH$_2^{*}$, 11.1. Therefore, the application of this estimation method indicates that both decomposition channels, b' and b'', (Scheme 4.4) of the $\gamma$ hydroxy alkoxy radical ($\gamma$, in Scheme 4.4) will occur with similar decomposition rates, $k_d$ (Eq. X), and hence will be of comparable importance.

Since a 8% molar yield has been measured for propanal, the arguments above support by default an $\sim$ 8% molar yield for 3-hydroxy propanal from 1-pentanol. Considering that the $\gamma$ hydroxy alkoxy radical is calculated to account for 17% of the overall reaction of 1-pentanol, this implies that its reaction with O$_2$ will be very minor and the decomposition pathways will dominate. It is not presently possible to ascertain whether or not 1-hydroxy-3-pentanone is being formed.

The $\delta$ hydroxy alkoxy radical ($\delta$, in Scheme 4.5) formed after the H-atom abstraction from the $\sim$CH$_2^{*}$ group at the $\delta$ position can undergo, as shown in Scheme 4.5, reaction with O$_2$ (channel a) to form 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone, decomposition (channels b’ and b’’) yielding either ethanal and CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$OH or 4-hydroxy butanal and the methyl radical and/or
isomerisation (channel c) by an H-atom abstraction from a –CH$_2$– group through a six-membered transition state.

Isomerisation of this δ hydroxy alkoxy radical to ultimately form 4-hydroxy pentanal after subsequent H-abstraction by O$_2$, is predicted to be its dominant pathway with an isomerisation rate $k_{\text{isom}} = 3.2 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ [81, 82]. Hence O$_2$ reaction of this δ hydroxy alkoxy radical with a recommended rate constant $k_{\text{O}_2} = 8 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and decomposition with an estimated rate constant $k_{\text{d}} = 4.7 \times 10^3$ s$^{-1}$ are, therefore, expected to be of minor importance [83].

![Scheme 4.5: Reactions of the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical: this radical accounts for an estimated 14% of the overall reaction of 1-pentanol with OH.](image)

To date, there are no direct experimental data concerning the relative importance of the above described reaction pathways of this δ hydroxy alkoxy radical. It is of interest to note that the CH$_3$CH(O')CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$OH alkoxy radical (δ, in Scheme 4.5) is identical to that formed after a 1,5-H shift isomerisation of the 1-pentoxy radical; however, very few data exist on the isomerisation of alkoxy radicals formed from alkanes. Atkinson et al. [21, 84] and Kwok et al. [85], in studies on the OH initiated reaction of n-pentane observed the formation of generic δ hydroxy carbonyl compounds using a direct air sampling atmospheric pressure ionisation mass spectrometry technique. Though these results prove the isomerisation of possibly both alkoxy radicals 1-pentoxy and 2-pentoxy, they cannot provide any clear evidence for the occurrence of a further isomerisation pathway of the CH$_3$CH(O')CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$OH alkoxy radical intermediate since: i) the fraction of 1-pentoxy radical formed from OH radical reaction of n-pentane is very
minor compared to that of 2-pentoxy radical; ii) 4-hydroxy pentanal and 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone can also result from the O₂ reaction and isomerisation, respectively, of the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical formed from isomerisation of 2-pentoxy radical; iii) in these studies [84, 85] it was not possible to distinguish between the two different δ hydroxy carbonyls since they both produce identical CAD spectra (MS/MS with collision-activated dissociation spectra) and, hence, it was not possible to establish the relative importance of the O₂ reaction and isomerisation for both δ hydroxy alkoxy radicals.

The experimental product data of this work suggest the occurrence of a decomposition pathway for the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical (δ, in Scheme 4.5) forming ethanal. As noted in Scheme 4.4, ethanal can also be formed from the decomposition of the CH₃CH₂CH(O')CH₃CH₂OH radical. Based on the measured yield of 8% for propanal, the molar yield of ethanal from the channel b” in Scheme 4.4 cannot exceed ~ 8% [see above the discussion on the γ hydroxy alkoxy radical]. Since the observed total yield of ethanal is 18% this points to the existence of (at least) two sources for this compound. Decomposition of the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical (δ, in Scheme 4.5) could also lead to the formation of ethanal. If there are no additional sources of ethanal in the experimental system, then this channel (channel b’ in Scheme 4.5) would account for the remaining 10% ethanal and would also constitute the main reaction channel for the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical.

The possibility of the existence of another decomposition channel (channel b”, in Scheme 4.5) leading to a methyl radical and 4-hydroxy butanal cannot be excluded because of the lack of an authentic sample of the compound; this decomposition pathway is, however, expected to be of minor relevance, because of the lower stability of the methyl radical compared to CH₃CH₂CH₂OH [86]. On the basis of the similarity of its HPLC retention time and its infrared spectrum with that of an authentic sample, a product has been tentatively identified as 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone. This product can be formed from the reaction of O₂ with the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical (δ, in Scheme 4.5). However, due to a lack of any analytical characterisation data for 4-hydroxy pentanal and because of the probable similarity of these data with those available for 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone, both being δ hydroxy carbonyl compounds, it cannot completely be excluded that the observed product is 4-hydroxy pentanal. It is evident from the above discussion, that the analyses carried out in this work cannot give definitive information on the relative importance of the decomposition, isomerisation and O₂ reaction channels of the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical. There is, however, evidence that the decomposition channel might dominate. The possible disagreement between the present product study and the estimation predictions concerning the fate of the δ hydroxy alkoxy
radical (δ, in Scheme 4.5) highlights the need to perform more experiments on systems where reactions of the δ hydroxy alkoxy radical will dominate the product distribution.

In summary, the carbonyl products identified and quantified in this work account for 70 ± 14 %C of the overall OH radical reaction with 1-pentanol. When the organic nitrate yield is included, the observed products account for 76 ± 15 %C.

From the results and the considerations presented above it is proposed, for the purposes of modelling oxidant formation in urban air masses, that the atmospheric chemistry of 1-pentanol can be represented by:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OH} + 0.78 \text{NO} \rightarrow
\]

0.44 CH\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{H} + 0.16 \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{H} + 0.02 \text{OHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{CHOH} + 0.09 \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{H} + 0.02 \text{OHCH}_2\text{CH}(O)\text{H} + 0.18 \text{OHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{H} + 0.05 \text{CH}_3\text{C}(O)\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + 0.18 \text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{H} + 0.3 \text{HCHO} + 0.87 \text{HO}_2 + 0.72 \text{NO}_2 + 0.06 \text{RONO}_2

In the derivation of the above equation, in order to obtain a 100% mass balance, slightly more significance has been attributed to the attack of the OH radical at the α position of the alcohol than that found from the experimental results and the possible occurrence of the isomerisation pathway of the β hydroxy alkoxy radical to form 1,5-dihydroxy-2-pentanone has been included. Further, a molar formation yield of 0.05 has been assumed for 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone as estimated from the concentration of the reference FTIR spectrum and it has also been assumed that the fate of the \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} radical is the reaction with \text{O}_2 to give 3-hydroxy propanal.
4.3 Atmospheric degradation of 1-butanol

The product studies on the gas-phase oxidation of 1-butanol were carried out in the EUPHORE outdoor photoreactor in Valencia/Spain in March 2000. The oxidation of 1-butanol was initiated by reaction with OH radicals generated by the photolysis of HONO, prepared as described in Annex D. Test experiments on a 1-butanol-air mixture showed that losses of the compound to the wall of the photoreactor or via photolysis were negligible compared to the measured decay of 1-butanol during the experiments. A few preparatory experiments were also performed in the 480 ℓ reactor in Wuppertal.

The experiments conditions employed are listed in Annex B, Table B.4.

4.3.1 Results

GC-PID and HPLC analysis of the products formed on irradiation of HONO-1-butanol-air mixtures showed the formation of butanal, propanal, ethanal and formaldehyde among the degradation products. Typical GC-PID chromatograms for the reaction of 1-butanol + OH radicals are shown in Figure 4.3.

In addition, a product eluted at or very close to the retention time of 4-hydroxy-2-butanone. While the HPLC retention time of this product closely matched that of an authentic standard of 4-hydroxy-2-butanone, the residual absorptions in the FTIR product spectra of irradiated HONO-1-butanol-air mixtures could not easily be compared with those of the authentic standard, because of the weak residual signals and the high amounts of water in the spectra. Based on the HPLC analysis and the possible reaction channels, it is believed that this product observed by HPLC is 4-hydroxy-2-butanone. The HPLC response factor – HPLC peak area/concentration – used to quantify this product was obtained with an authentic standard.

Propanal, ethanal and HCHO could also be formed in secondary reactions of some of the primary aldehydic products. However, under the conditions employed in the experiments, the contribution from secondary reactions is probably very minor. With the high NOx concentrations employed in the experiments, the main fate of the alkyl peroxy radicals, formed in the degradation reactions of the higher aldehydes, will be formation of the corresponding stable peroxy acyl nitrate and not a lower aldehyde.
Figure 4.3: GC-PID chromatograms obtained from a HONO-1-butanol-air mixture before irradiation (above) and after 30 min irradiation.

To determine the product formation yields, the secondary reactions of the aldehydic products – reaction with OH radicals, photolysis and dilution losses – were taken into account as described by Tuazon et al. [48] (see section 2.3). Rate coefficients for reaction of OH radicals with the aldehydic products were taken from the literature [73, 87]. The following values of $k_{OH}$ (in units of $10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) were used: butanal, 2.47 ± 0.15 [87]; propanal, 2.02 ± 0.14 [87]; and ethanol, 1.22 ± 0.27 [73]. Photolysis frequencies for the aldehydes, $k_{hv}$, were taken from the literature [74]. The mean of $J$(NO$_2$), measured during the experiments, was used as an indicator of the light intensity in order to select the frequencies. The following values of $k_{hv}$ (in
units of $10^5$ s$^{-1}$) were employed: butanal, 1.26 ± 0.06; propanal, 1.12 ± 0.05; and ethanol, 0.23 ± 0.01.

The correction factors, $[\text{RHO}]_{\text{corr}} / [\text{RHO}]_{\text{true}}$, were ≤1.5 for butanal, ≤1.3 for propanal and ≤1.1 for ethanol. The estimated overall uncertainties in the OH reaction rate coefficient of 1-butanol and in the rate coefficients for the reaction with OH and photolysis of the products led to maximum uncertainties in the correction factors of ±8% for the formation of butanal, ±10% for the formation of propanal and ±13% for the formation of ethanol.

The corrected aldehydes concentrations are plotted against the amount of 1-butanol consumed in Figure 4.4.

Figure 4.4: Plots of butanal, propanal and ethanol uncorrected (●) and corrected (□) for reaction with OH radicals and photolysis (see text) against the amount of 1-butanol consumed.
The corrected values for the formation yields are given in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2: Product formation yields from the gas-phase reaction of the OH radical with 1-butanol in the presence of NOx.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Formation yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butanal</td>
<td>0.518 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanal</td>
<td>0.234 ± 0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanal</td>
<td>0.127 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.434 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxy-2-butanone</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-yield</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicated errors are 2 least-squares standard deviations of the data shown in Figure 4.4 combined with a contribution for the overall uncertainties in the alcohol and carbonyl GC-PID calibration factors and in the correction factors (see above). The molar formation yield of 0.434 ± 0.024 given for HCHO was derived from the uncorrected concentrations plotted against the consumption of 1-butanol in the early stage of the reaction, when secondary processes can largely be neglected. Similarly, the value of 0.05 ± 0.01 indicated for 4-hydroxy-2-butanone represents its semi-quantitative molar formation yield obtained by plotting the uncorrected HPLC concentrations of 4-hydroxy-2-butanone against the amounts consumed of 1-butanol in the early stage of the reaction.

In summary, the carbonyl and the hydroxy carbonyl products observed and quantified in this work account for 92 ± 16 %C of the reaction of OH radicals with 1-butanol.

4.3.2 Discussion: mechanistic considerations based on SAR and the product yield data

Using the Structure–Activity Relationship (SAR) technique [28, 29] it can be estimated that the relative importance of OH attack at the various –CH₃, –CH₂– and –OH groups of 1-butanol is: from the –OH group, 2.4%; from the –CH₂– group at the α position 58.3%; from the –CH₃ group at the β position 20.4%; from the –CH₂– group at the γ position 16.5%; and from the –CH₃ group 2.4%.
As described in section 4.1, the α hydroxy alkyl radical, formed by H-atom abstraction from the \(-\text{CH}_2-\) group at the α position, yields butanal and HO\(_2\) in a direct reaction with oxygen [21]:

\[
\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}^\cdot\text{H} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CHO} + \text{HO}_2
\]  

(r. 4.7)

and consequently does not produce an α hydroxy alkoxy radical that could form butyric acid (\(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}\)) which was not found in the product analysis.

The hydroxy alkyl radicals (I, in Scheme 4.1) formed after H-atom abstraction from the \(-\text{CH}_2-\) group at the β, and γ positions will add O\(_2\) to form hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals (II, in Scheme 4.1) which can react with NO or NO\(_2\). Addition of NO\(_2\) will form thermally labile hydroxy alkyl peroxy nitrates. In the presence of NO, the hydroxy alkyl peroxy radicals form hydroxy alkyl nitrate or hydroxy alkoxy radicals (III, in Scheme 4.1) plus NO\(_2\).

The contribution of the channel forming organic nitrates in these RO\(_2\)\(^*\) + NO reactions is considered to be small (≤ 4%). This value was derived from the yield of butyl nitrates, 0.077 ± 0.009 [88], obtained in CH\(_3\)ONO-NO-\(n\)-butane air irradiations. The results of previous studies [67, 68, 72] suggest that hydroxy butyl nitrate formation yields from the reaction of hydroxy butyl peroxy radicals with NO is a factor of ~ 2 lower than the butyl nitrate formation yields from the OH reaction with \(n\)-butane in the presence of NO.

The further expected reactions of the alkoxy radicals are outlined in Scheme 4.6 and Scheme 4.7. In these reaction schemes, the alkyl and alkyl peroxy radicals have been omitted for clarity and only the reactions of the alkoxy and acyl radicals involved are shown.

The β hydroxy alkoxy radical (β, in Scheme 4.6) formed after the H-atom abstraction from the \(-\text{CH}_2-\) group at the β position can react with O\(_2\) to form 4-hydroxy-3-butanone (channel a) or decompose to propanal and HCHO (channel b). Isomerisation by a 1,5-H shift via a six-membered transition state cannot occur for this β hydroxy alkoxy radical. Furthermore, isomerisations by a 1,4-H shift via a five-membered transition state are expected to be 3-4 orders of magnitude slower at 296 K than the 1,5 H shift isomerisations [66] because of the higher ring strain involved and are, therefore, of negligible importance.
Scheme 4.6: Reactions of the β hydroxy alkoxy radical: this radical accounts for an estimated 20.4% of the overall reaction of 1-butanol with OH.

Literature estimates of the enthalpy for the decomposition (ΔH_d = 3.3 kJ mol⁻¹) and O₂ reaction (ΔH_O₂ = -1423.7 kJ mol⁻¹) of this β hydroxy alkoxy radical can be used to predict the relative importance of the two reaction pathways using the empirical estimation method of Atkinson [78]. This approach assumes that there exists a correlation between the thermochemistries of these reactions and the rate constants for alkoxy radical decomposition and reaction with O₂. In particular, the decomposition dominates whenever Δ(ΔH) = (ΔH_d - ΔH_O₂) < 163 kJ mol⁻¹, while the O₂ reaction normally dominates for Δ(ΔH) > 184 kJ mol⁻¹. Thus, on the basis of the above reported heats of reaction for decomposition and O₂ reaction and using the Atkinson estimation method, the decomposition of β hydroxy butoxy radical is calculated to dominate over the reaction with oxygen with a decomposition rate k_d of 1.1 × 10⁸ s⁻¹ at 298 K [76] compared to a O₂ reaction rate, k_O₂[O₂] of 4.1 × 10⁴ s⁻¹ [76].

The identification among the degradation products of propanal in a molar formation yield of 0.234 ± 0.035 clearly suggest that the decomposition channel is the main fate of the β hydroxy butoxy radical which is calculated to account for ~ 20% of the overall reaction of 1-butanol with OH radicals. The present results are in good agreement with the experimental data of previous studies on the degradation mechanism of 1-butene [67, 69] [note that the CH₃CH₂CH(O')CH₂OH is also formed by OH radical addition to 1-butene], which showed that the sole fate of the β hydroxy alkoxy radical was decomposition. The present results are also consistent with the literature estimations of the decomposition and O₂ reaction rate constants for the β hydroxy butoxy radical.
The possible reaction sequences for the γ hydroxy alkoxy radical (γ, in Scheme 4.7), formed after the H-atom abstraction from the –CH₂– group at the γ position, are shown in the reaction Scheme 4.7.

![Scheme 4.7: Reactions of the γ hydroxy alkoxy radical: this radical accounts for an estimated 16.5% of the overall reaction of 1-butanol with OH.](image)

The present GC-PID and HPLC product data show that ethanal is formed with a yield of 12.7 ± 2.2% and is expected to arise from the decomposition (channel b') of the CH₃CH(O')CH₂OH radical. The CH₂CH₂OH radical, formed as “co-product” of ethanal, undergoes addition of O₂ and reaction with NO to the corresponding alkoxy radical which, subsequently, decomposes (82%) to form HCHO and reacts with O₂ to form glycolaldehyde (18%) [77]. While HCHO was identified by GC-PID, HPLC and FTIR, glycolaldehyde was not observed with any of these analytical techniques, indicating that its yield must be very low, as expected.

The other decomposition channel (channel b'') involving C₇–C₆ scission would produce 3-hydroxy propanal and the CH₃ radical. However, this channel is considered of minor importance since no clear evidence for 3-hydroxy propanal formation could be obtained from the product analysis. Furthermore, because of the greater stability of the CH₂CH₂OH radical compared to the methyl radical [86, 89], the channel leading to ethanal and CH₂CH₂OH (channel b') is predicted to dominate.

Similar to the observations made in 4.2.2 for the γ hydroxy peroxy radical, the reaction of the γ hydroxy butoxy radical with O₂ to form 4-hydroxy-2-butane appears to be much less important than decomposition based on the experimental ratio $k_{d}/k_{v_{2}}[O_{2}] \approx 2.6$ as derived from the molar formation yields of the products arising from the two reaction channels.
The δ hydroxy alkoxy radical, \( ^\circ \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \), formed after the H-atom abstraction from the \( \text{CH}_3^- \) group has a very marginal importance in the atmospheric OH initiated degradation mechanism of 1-butanol, accounting for only an estimated 2.4% of the overall reaction. The possible products of the alkoxy radical reactions could not be identified in the present study because of their presumably very low concentrations. However, this δ hydroxy alkoxy radical [note that the \( ^\circ \text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \) radical is identical to that formed after the isomerisation via a 1,5-H shift of a 1-butoxy radical] is believed to undergo a 1,5-H shift isomerisation to ultimately yield 4-hydroxy butanal. The isomerisation channel is expected to dominate over the \( \text{O}_2 \) reaction and decomposition with a calculated rate constant of \( k_{\text{scn}} = 3.2 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \) [81, 82]. The expected δ hydroxy carbonyl has been tentatively observed from the OH radical initiated reaction of \( \text{n-} \)butane by Kwok et al. [85], using a direct air sampling atmospheric pressure ionisation tandem mass spectrometry technique.

In summary, the carbonyl and the hydroxy carbonyl products identified and quantified in this work account for 92 ± 16 %C of the overall OH radical reaction with 1-butanol. When the estimated organic nitrate yield is included, the observed products account for 96 ± 17 %C.

From the experimental data and mechanistic considerations discussed above it is proposed, for the purposes of modelling oxidant formation in urban air masses, that the atmospheric chemistry of 1-butanol can be represented by:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OH} + 0.58 \text{NO} \rightarrow
\]

\[
0.55 \ \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{H} + 0.23 \ \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{H} + 0.05 \ \text{CH}_3\text{CH}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + 0.13 \ \text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{H} + 0.02 \ \text{OHCH}_2\text{CH}(\text{O})\text{H} + 0.45 \text{HCHO} + 0.95 \text{HO}_2 + 0.54 \text{NO}_2 + 0.04 \text{RONO}_2
\]

In the derivation of the above equation, in order to achieve 100% mass balance, slightly more significance has been attributed to the attack of the OH radical at the \( \alpha \) position of the alcohol than that found in the experimental results.
Chapter 5

Atmospheric oxidation of esters

In this work, mechanistic studies were carried out on the atmospheric degradation of two esters: methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate.

The major atmospheric sink for the investigated esters is expected to be reaction with OH radicals which is, however, relatively slow, with \( k_{\text{O}_3 + \text{methyl propionate}} = (9.35 \pm 1.15) \times 10^{13} \) and \( k_{\text{O}_3 + \text{dimethyl succinate}} = (1.95 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{12} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [this work]. This makes photoreactor chamber studies of the products difficult because of the long time coefficients of the experiments required to convert sufficient reactant amounts necessary for an accurate product analysis. Thus, the faster reaction with chlorine atoms was employed as a surrogate to mimic the OH radical induced atmospheric oxidation of methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate. Although reaction of VOC with Cl atoms is somewhat less selective than with OH radicals, the subsequent chemistry is the same and thus it is a convenient method to emulate OH radical initiated chemistry. The chlorine atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate was performed under atmospheric conditions in the presence of NO\(_3\) in the 405 \( \ell \) reactor in Wuppertal.

In the following section, a general degradation mechanism for both esters will be illustrated, and, afterward, the experimental results will be presented and discussed for the individual esters.

5.1 General mechanistic considerations on the degradation of esters

As seen from the molecular structure, methyl propionate contains the \(-\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3\) entity which is also present in the dibasic ester, dimethyl succinate, therefore, it is possible to represent both esters with the general formula \(\text{R-CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3\) where \(\text{R = CH}_3\) in the case of methyl propionate and \(\text{R = CH}_3\text{OC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\) in the case of dimethyl succinate.
The atmospheric oxidation of esters is initiated by the reaction with OH radicals which proceeds via H-atom abstraction from the various –CH₃ and –CH₂– groups. Under atmospheric conditions the alkyl radicals (Ia, Ib, in Scheme 5.1) react with oxygen to give peroxyl radicals (IIa, IIb). Peroxy radicals react with NO, NO₂, HO₂, and other peroxyl radicals in the atmosphere [90, 91]. Reaction with NO dominates in polluted air and proceeds via two channels giving alkoxy radicals (IIIa, IIIb) as major and organic nitrates as minor products.

Scheme 5.1: Reaction scheme for the OH radical/Cl atom initiated oxidation of R–CH₂C(O)OCH₃ esters: H-atom abstraction from the –CH₂– and –CH₃ groups.

- H-atom abstraction from the terminal methyl group at the ether end ultimately yields the R–CH₂C(O)OCH₂O⁺ alkoxy radical (IIIa, in Scheme 5.1). This alkoxy radical undergoes (Scheme 5.2) an α ester rearrangement reaction (first observed by Tuazon et al. [35] for ethyl acetate) in which an hydrogen atom on the alkoxy carbon migrates to the carbonyl oxygen
associated with the ester functionality through a five-membered transition state. This results in the formation of the acid R–CH₂C(O)OH and the formyl radical HC(○)O.

![Scheme 5.2: Atmospheric fate of R–CH₂C(O)OCH₂O’ alkoxyl radical formed after H-atom abstraction from the –C(O)OCH₃ entity of R–CH₂C(O)OCH₃ esters.](image)

The overall reaction

\[ \text{R–CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_2\text{O}^- \rightarrow \text{R–CH}_2\text{C(O)OH} + \text{HC(O)}^- \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(r. 5.1)}

is calculated [71] to be exothermic by \( \sim 21 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and the potential intermediate radical, R–CH₂C(○)OHOC(O)H, is calculated to be more stable than the R–CH₂C(O)OCH₂O’ alkoxyl radical by the difference in the O–H and C–H bond dissociation energies of \( \sim 42-63 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) [111]. However, the presumed five-membered transition state is expected to have a significant ring strain of \( \sim 25 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) [92], and it is not clear whether the reaction proceeds via the intermediary of the R–CH₂C(○)OHOC(O)H radical or as a concerted process.

The R–CH₂C(O)OCH₂O’ (IIIa, in Scheme 5.2) radical can react with O₂ yielding the anhydride, R–CH₂C(O)OC(O)H. In Scheme 5.2, the possible decomposition pathway proceeding by C–O bond cleavage to the R–CH₂C(O)O’ radical and HCHO has been omitted. Previous studies of the atmospheric fates of structurally analogous alkoxyl radicals derived from...
methyl acetate $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ [36] and dimethyl glutarate $\text{CH}_3\text{OC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ [37] support that decomposition of $\text{R}–\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_2\text{O}'$ is of negligible importance.

- H-atom abstraction from the $–\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}–$ entity produces via the reaction sequence outlined in Scheme 5.1, the $\text{R}–\text{CH}(\text{O})'\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ alkoxy radical (IIIb, in Scheme 5.1). This alkoxy radical shows three possible different reaction pathways (Scheme 5.3).

![Scheme 5.3: Atmospheric fate of $\text{R}–\text{CH}(\text{O})'\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ alkoxy radicals formed after H-atom abstraction from the $–\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}–$ entity of $\text{R}–\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ esters.](image)

i) Reaction with oxygen (channel a) forming the carbonyl compound $\text{R}–\text{C}(\text{O})\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$.

ii) Decomposition via C–C bond cleavage, which can proceed by two channels (channels b' and b'”), both producing an aldehydic product and a radical. iii) A 1,5-H shift isomerisation, if possible, via a six-membered transition state yielding different multifunctional products. The relative importance of these reaction pathways for $\text{R}–\text{CH}(\text{O})'\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ alkoxy radicals will be discussed separately for methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate on the basis of the results from the present product studies.

For completeness, it has to be noted that in the case of methyl propionate, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OC}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$, a H-atom abstraction from the methyl group of terminal $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2$–entity is also possible. The alkoxy radical $\text{H}–\text{CH}(\text{O})'\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ then formed has an atmospheric fate similar to that of the $\text{R}–\text{CH}(\text{O})'\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OCH}_3$ alkoxy radical described above (i.e. reaction with $\text{O}_2$ and decomposition).
5.2 Atmospheric degradation of methyl propionate

All the experiments on the gas-phase oxidation of methyl propionate, CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₃, were performed in the 405 ℓ reactor in Wuppertal. The oxidation of methyl propionate was initiated by the reaction with Cl atoms generated by photolysis of molecular chlorine in the presence of NO. To test for heterogeneous and/or photolytic losses of methyl propionate and its oxidation products, two control experiments were performed. First, methyl propionate-air mixtures were left to stand in the dark and were then subjected to UV irradiation for up to 20 min: there was no observable loss (<3%) of methyl propionate. Second, product mixtures obtained by the UV irradiation of methyl propionate-Cl₂-NO₂-air mixtures were left to stand in the dark chamber for 20 min. There was no observable loss (<3%) of any products. The experimental conditions employed are listed in Annex B, Table B.5.

5.2.1 Results

By virtue of their characteristic IR spectra, propionic formic anhydride, propionic acid, CO, methyl pyruvate, ethanol, methoxy formylperoxynitrate, formaldehyde and methyl glyoxylate were identified among the degradation products of methyl propionate.

Methyl glyoxylate is not available commercially. An infrared spectrum of methyl glyoxylate, H(O)CC(O)OCH₃, was therefore generated by the 254 nm photolysis of methyl bromoacetate in the presence of NO in 1000 mbar of air.

\[ \text{BrCH}_2	ext{C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{O}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{Br} + \cdot \text{O}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (r. 5.2)

\[ \cdot \text{O}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \cdot \text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{NO}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (r. 5.3)

\[ \cdot \text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H(O)CC(O)OCH}_3 + \text{HO}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (r. 5.4)

Photolysis of BrCH₂C(O)OCH₃ gives the ′CH₂C(O)OCH₃ radical which after addition of O₂ and reaction with NO leads to the ′OCH₂C(O)OCH₃ alkoxy radical. There are three possible pathways for the ′OCH₂C(O)OCH₃ radical; reaction with O₂ to give methyl glyoxylate, elimination of HCHO to give a ′C(O)OCH₃ radical or isomerisation to give HOCH₂C(O)OCHO and/or HC(O)C(O)OCH₂OH. The major fate of ′OCH₂C(O)OCH₃ was found to be reaction with O₂ to yield methyl glyoxylate which was identified by virtue of its IR features at 2966, 2850, 1754, 1741, 1289, 1225 and 1024 cm⁻¹. Decomposition via elimination of HCHO accounted for 4% of the loss of the ′OCH₂C(O)OCH₃ alkoxy radical. The lack of any distinctive OH stretching vibrational features in the residual spectra at ~ 3670 cm⁻¹ showed
that isomerisation to HOCH$_2$C(O)OCHO and/or HC(O)C(O)OCH$_2$OH is of little or no importance. The methyl glyoxylate spectrum was, therefore, calibrated by assuming a 96% photolytic conversion of methyl bromoacetate to methyl glyoxylate. For completeness, experiments were performed in which ozone was added to methyl crotonate, CH$_3$CH=CHC(O)O–CH$_3$-air mixtures. The ozonolysis of methyl crotonate is expected to produce methyl glyoxylate and ethanal in unit yields [93]. The methyl glyoxylate spectrum was calibrated in this case assuming its yield equal to the measured concentration of ethanal. The infrared absorption cross sections obtained for methyl glyoxylate from these experiments were within ± 10% of those obtained from the photolysis of methyl bromoacetate, thus giving additional confidence in the calibration of the methyl glyoxylate reference spectrum.

Figure 5.1: Formation of propionic formic anhydride (circles), propionic acid (triangles), methyl glyoxylate (stars) and methyl pyruvate (squares) versus loss of methyl propionate observed following the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate in air in the presence of NO$_x$. The methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate (dashes) data plot shows curvature. This is caused by changes in the NO/NO$_2$ ratio during the experiment (see text).

Figure 5.1 shows the observed formation of propionic formic anhydride, propionic acid, methyl pyruvate and methyl glyoxylate versus loss of methyl propionate. The linearity of the propionic formic anhydride, propionic acid, methyl pyruvate and methyl glyoxylate product plots in Figure 5.1 suggests that these species are formed as primary products during the oxidation of methyl propionate and are not lost to any significant extent by secondary reactions. Least-squares analysis of these data gave the product yields listed in Table 5.1.
Table 5.1: Molar yields of the products observed in the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate in the presence of NO₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Formation yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propionic formic anhydride</td>
<td>0.099 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>0.139 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>0.132 ± 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl pyruvate</td>
<td>0.289 ± 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanal</td>
<td>0.077 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl glyoxylate</td>
<td>0.111 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-yield</td>
<td>0.716 ± 0.143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Molar product yields of 0.132 ± 0.026 and 0.077 ± 0.015 given, in Table 5.1, for CO and ethanal, respectively, refer to the early stage of the reaction, when secondary processes can largely be neglected. In the case of formaldehyde, secondary formation and removal processes occurring in the system under the experimental conditions employed made a reliable determination of its molar formation yield difficult.

In the product analysis distinctive spectral features at 1835, 1748, 1308, 1237 and 799 cm⁻¹ remain in the residual spectra after subtraction of all identified products. By comparison with literature spectra, these characteristic absorptions can be assigned to methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate, CH₃OC(O)OONO₂ [94, 95]. The formation of this compound is dependent on the NO/NO₂ ratio in the experimental system and, therefore, its yield is low at the beginning of the experiment when the NO/NO₂ ratio is high and increases gradually during the course of the experiment as the NO/NO₂ ratio becomes smaller (see Figure 5.1). An estimation of the concentration of the peroxy nitrate has been made using the value of 4.43 × 10⁻¹⁹ cm² molecule⁻¹ (base 10) for the absorption cross section of peroxy acetyl nitrate at 1835 cm⁻¹ available in the literature [96]; its molar formation yield was found to be 0.083 ± 0.016 at the end of the experiments.

The residual product spectra also show the presence of RONO₂-type bands at approximately 1670, 1300 and 845 cm⁻¹. The specific RONO₂ product(s) formed could not be identified.
However, an estimate of the molar RONO₂ concentration was made from the integrated intensity of the 1670 cm⁻¹ absorption band and the average integrated absorption coefficient of \((2.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{17}\) cm molecule⁻¹ (base10) reported in the literature for the corresponding band of other organic nitrates [37]. Using this value, the average RONO₂ molar formation yield obtained from five experiments was \(7 \pm 2\%\), the estimated total error includes uncertainties associated with the absorption coefficient. There are two possible sources of organic nitrates in the present experiments; the nitrate channel of the reaction of peroxy radicals with NO (r. 5.6), or the addition of NO₂ to alkoxy radicals (r. 5.7).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 & (\text{r. 5.5}) \\
\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} + \text{M} & \rightarrow \text{RONO}_2 + \text{M} & (\text{r. 5.6}) \\
\text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{M} & \rightarrow \text{RONO}_2 + \text{M} & (\text{r. 5.7})
\end{align*}
\]

Within the experimental uncertainties, the organic nitrate(s) product features at 1670, 1300 and 845 cm⁻¹ were observed to increase linearly with methyl propionate consumption. During the experiment the NO₂ concentration substantially increased from essentially zero prior to the start of the irradiation to \(4.6 \times 10^{14}\) molecule cm⁻³ at the end of the experiment. The linearity of the RONO₂ formation suggests that reaction (r. 5.6) rather than reaction (r. 5.7) is the source of the observed RONO₂. Accordingly, an effective branching ratio of \(k_{\alpha\beta}/(k_{\alpha5}+k_{\alpha6}) = 0.07 \pm 0.02\) has been estimated for the mixture of the three different peroxy radicals formed following Cl attack on methyl propionate in the presence of O₂.

The reaction of peroxy radicals with NO is believed to involve the formation of a short-lived ROONO complex which can either decompose to give RO and NO₂ or rearrange to give the nitrate RONO₂ [97]. With increasing size of the R moiety, the importance of the RONO₂ producing channel increases (R = CH₃, \(k_{\alpha\beta}/(k_{\alpha5}+k_{\alpha6}) < 0.01\) [98, 99], R = C₇H₇, \(k_{\alpha\beta}/(k_{\alpha5}+k_{\alpha6}) = 0.036\) [47]; R = t-butyl, \(k_{\alpha\beta}/(k_{\alpha5}+k_{\alpha6}) = 0.18\) [100], R = C₆H₁₃, \(k_{\alpha\beta}/(k_{\alpha5}+k_{\alpha6}) = 0.22\) [47]). Methyl propionate has six "heavy" atoms and has a molecular weight which is comparable to that of hexane. It is interesting to note that the nitrate forming channel of the RO₂ + NO reactions occurring during the oxidation of methyl propionate is substantially (approximately a factor of 3) less important than that in the corresponding reactions of alkyl peroxy radicals of the same size. This observation is similar to recent findings for halogenated peroxy radicals which, when compared to unsubstituted alkyl peroxy radicals, produce a much lower nitrate yield in their reactions with NO [101]. It appears that the electron withdrawing influence of the oxygen or halogen substituents reduces the branching ratio \(k_{\alpha\beta}/(k_{\alpha5}+k_{\alpha6})\). The different
factors governing the nitrate yields in reaction (r. 5.6) are poorly understood and need further study.

The reaction products identified and quantified in this work account for 71.6 ± 14.3 %C. When the organic nitrate yield is included, the observed products account for 79 ± 16 %C.

5.2.2 Discussion

As discussed above, the reaction of Cl atoms with methyl propionate, CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₃, can proceed by H-atom abstraction from all three of the hydrogenated carbons in the molecule. Under atmospheric pressure the alkyl radicals formed after the H-atom abstraction, react solely with O₂ to form the corresponding alkyl peroxy radicals [21, 72]. Reaction with NO then leads to formation of the corresponding alkoxy radicals (Scheme 5.1). The products observed following UV irradiation of methyl propionate-Cl₂-NOₓ-air mixtures allow the elucidation of the fate of the alkoxy radicals formed during the atmospheric oxidation of methyl propionate in the presence of NOₓ. The possible reaction channels for each of the 3 alkoxy radicals, CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O', CH₃CH(O')C(O)OCH₃ and CH₂(O')CH₂C(O)OCH₃, are outlined in Scheme 5.4, Scheme 5.5 and Scheme 5.6. These schemes form the basis for the following discussion.

Atmospheric fate of CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' radicals

Scheme 5.4 shows possible fates of the CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' alkoxy radical (IIIa).

The observation in the present work of the formation of equivalent amounts of propionic acid and CO suggest that the CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' radical undergoes an α ester rearrangement (channel b) (see section 5.1). Furthermore, the identification of propionic formic anhydride shows that the bimolecular reaction with O₂ (channel a) competes with α ester rearrangement for the available CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' radicals. A similar competition was reported by Christensen et al. [36] for the analogous alkoxy radicals formed during the atmospheric oxidation of methyl acetate, CH₃C(O)OCH₂O'. It is interesting to note that the relative importance of α ester rearrangement versus bimolecular reaction with O₂ reported by Christensen et al. [36] for the CH₃C(O)OCH₂O' radical, (65 ± 14)/(35 ± 5) = 1.9 ± 0.7, is very similar to that observed here for CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' radical, (0.139 ± 0.027)/(0.099 ± 0.019) = 1.4 ± 0.4.
Scheme 5.4: Proposed reaction mechanism for the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate: abstraction from the –C(O)O–CH₃ entity.

In Scheme 5.4, the possible decomposition of the alkoxy radical via C–O bond scission to the CH₃CH₂C(O)O' radical and HCHO has not been included for two reasons. First, the observed products can be explained without invoking this reaction channel. Second, in studies of the atmospheric fates of structurally analogous alkoxy radicals derived from methyl acetate, CH₃C(O)OCH₂O' [36], dimethyl glutarate, CH₃OC(O)CH₂CH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' [37], and dimethyl succinate, CH₃OC(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' [this work], no evidence of HCHO elimination has been observed. By analogy with the reported behaviour of structurally similar radicals it seems unlikely that decomposition via C–O bond scission is significant for the CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' radical.

In conclusion, the fate of CH₃CH₂C(O)OCH₂O' radicals formed in the atmospheric oxidation of methyl propionate in the presence of NOₓ is ɑ ester rearrangement and reaction with O₂ with kₐ/ₐO₂(O₂) = 1.4 ± 0.4.

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)}\text{OCH}_2\text{O}' \longrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OH} + \text{HC(}^\cdot\text{)O} \quad (r. \ 5.8)
\]
\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)}\text{OCH}_2\text{O}' + \text{O}_2 \longrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OC(O)H} + \text{HO}_2 \quad (r. \ 5.9)
\]
Atmospheric fate of CH$_3$CH(O')C(O)OCH$_3$ radicals

The alkoxyl radical CH$_3$CH(O')C(O)OCH$_3$ (IIIb, in Scheme 5.5), formed after the H-atom abstraction from the -CH$_2$C(O)O- entity, can react with O$_2$ to form methyl pyruvate (channel a), decompose via C–C bond cleavage (channels b' and b'”) or undergo isomerisation (channel c) (Scheme 5.5).

![Scheme 5.5: Proposed reaction mechanism for the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate: abstraction from the –CH$_2$C(O)O– entity.]

The bond cleavage can proceed by two pathways, producing either methyl glyoxylate and the °CH$_3$ radical (channel b') or ethanal and the methoxy formyl radical, °C(O)OCH$_3$ (channel b'”). As already noted, because of the greater stability of the °C(O)OCH$_3$ radical compared to the methyl radical [86, 89], the channel producing ethanal and the °C(O)OCH$_3$ radical is predicted to dominate.

The observation of a substantial yield of methyl pyruvate shows that reaction with O$_2$ is an important channel for CH$_3$CH(O')C(O)OCH$_3$ radicals (IIIb, in Scheme 5.5). In addition, the observation of comparable yields of ethanal and methoxy formylperoxynitrate suggests that decomposition of the CH$_3$CH(O')C(O)OCH$_3$ radical to give a °C(O)OCH$_3$ radical and CH$_3$CHO is also significant. The yield of ethanal was observed to decrease for methyl
propionate consumptions greater than 15-20%. This observation can be attributed to secondary loss of ethanal via reaction with Cl atoms which occurs with a rate coefficient of $7.8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [102] by H-atom abstraction from the –CHO group forming the CH$_3$C(Ô) acyl radical, which then adds O$_2$ to form the acyl peroxy radical. In the presence of NO$_x$, the acyl peroxy radical can add NO$_2$ to form peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN) [21]. Under the experimental conditions employed, the major pathway of the methoxy formyl radical is expected to be the addition of O$_2$ and the further reaction with NO$_2$ to form methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate, rather than decomposition to CO$_2$ and ´CH$_3$ [103]. The alkoxy radical CH$_3$CH(O')C(O)OCH$_3$ (IIIb, in Scheme 5.5) can also undergo a 1,5-H shift isomerisation via a six-membered transition state. Unfortunately, due to a lack of an infrared spectrum of the expected isomerisation product it was not possible to ascertain whether this process is occurring.

In conclusion, the atmospheric fate of the CH$_3$CH(O')C(O)OCH$_3$ radical is reaction with O$_2$ to give methyl pyruvate and decomposition via C–C bond cleavage to give a ´C(O)OCH$_3$ radical and CH$_3$CHO with $k_{a11}/(k_{a31}[O_2]) = 8/29 = 0.28 \pm 0.08$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{CH(O')}\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{HO}_2 \\
\text{CH}_3\text{CH(O')}\text{C(O)OCH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{´C(O)OCH}_3
\end{align*}
\]

(r. 5.10) (r. 5.11)

**Atmospheric fate of OCH$_2$CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_3$ radicals**

The alkoxy radical ´OCH$_2$CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_3$ (IIIc) shown in Scheme 5.6 cannot form a six-membered transition state, hence isomerisation via internal H-atom abstraction does not occur, and reaction with O$_2$ and decomposition via C–C bond scission are the only possible fates for the alkoxy radical.

Reaction of the ´OCH$_2$CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_3$ alkoxy radical (IIIc, in Scheme 5.6) with O$_2$ will lead to the formation of 3-oxo-methyl propionate (channel a). Since a reference spectrum for 3-oxo-methyl propionate was not available, it was not possible to search for IR product features from this compound. However, after subtraction of IR features attributable to all the identified products (propionic formic anhydride, propionic acid, methyl pyruvate, methyl glyoxylate, ethanal and methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate), residual features remain which suggest the possible presence of 3-oxo-methyl propionate.
Scheme 5.6: Proposed reaction mechanism for the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate: abstraction from the methyl group of the CH₃CH₂− entity.

Decomposition of 'OCH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃ leads to the formation of formaldehyde and the 'CH₂C(O)OCH₃ radical (channel b). The 'CH₂C(O)OCH₃ radical will add O₂ and react with NO to give the 'OCH₂C(O)OCH₃ alkoxy radical. As discussed in paragraph 5.2.1, control experiments employing the photolysis of methyl bromoacetate in mixtures of air in the presence of NO showed that in air under atmospheric pressure the fate of 'OCH₂C(O)OCH₃ radicals is mainly reaction with O₂ to give methyl glyoxylate. Methyl glyoxylate was an observed product with a molar yield of 0.11. As shown in Scheme 5.5 and Scheme 5.6, methyl glyoxylate can be formed following the decomposition of both CH₃CH(O')C(O)OCH₃ and 'OCH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃ radicals. As described above, it is believed that the fate of CH₃CH(O')C(O)OCH₃ radicals is dominated by reactions (r. 5.10) and (r. 5.11), and hence the observed methyl glyoxylate product is assigned to decomposition of 'OCH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃ radicals via elimination of HCHO (see Scheme 5.6). Because of the lack of positive evidence for the formation of 3-oxo methyl propionate, it is proposed that the sole fate of 'OCH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃ is decomposition:

\[ 'OCH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃ → \text{HCHO} + '\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 \]  

(r. 5.12)
In summary, the reaction products identified and quantified in this work account for 71.6 ± 14.3 %C. When the organic nitrate yield is included, the observed products account for 79 ± 16 %C.

The atmospheric oxidation of methyl propionate is initiated by reaction with OH radicals. Using structure-activity relationships it can be estimated that the relative importance of OH attack at the three possible sites is: 25% (I), 43% (II) and 32% (III) [28, 29, 59]. The OH radical reaction will produce three alkyl radicals which will add O₂ to give peroxyl radicals.

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3^\cdot + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_2\text{OO}^\cdot + \text{M} \quad (\text{r. 5.13})
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}^{(')}\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3 + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}^{(')}\text{OOO}^{(')}\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3 + \text{M} \quad (\text{r. 5.14})
\]

\[
\cdot\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3 + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \cdot\text{OOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3 + \text{M} \quad (\text{r. 5.15})
\]

For conditions prevailing in polluted urban air masses the dominant fate of the peroxyl radicals will be reaction with NO leading to alkoxy radicals as the major products with small amounts of organic nitrates (7%). From the results presented in sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 it is recommended, for the purposes of modelling oxidant formation in urban air masses, that the atmospheric chemistry of methyl propionate be represented as:

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3 + 1.32 \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{0.07} \text{RONO}_2 + 0.32 \text{HCHO} + 0.23 \text{HC(O)C(O)OCH}_3 + 0.93 \text{HO}_2 + 0.32 \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)C(O)OCH}_3 + 0.09 \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + 0.09 \text{CO}_2 + 0.17 \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OH} + 0.17 \text{CO} + 0.12 \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OC(O)H} + 1.25 \text{NO}_2
\]

In the derivation of the above equation it has been assumed that the 7% organic nitrate yield is composed of 3% \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_2\text{ONO}_2, 2% \text{CH}_3\text{CH}(\text{ONO}_2)\text{C(O)OCH}_3 and 2% \text{O}_2\text{NOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3, and that the fate of \cdot \text{C}(O)\text{OCH}_3 radicals is addition of \text{O}_2, followed by reaction with NO and decomposition to give \text{CO}_2 and \text{CH}_3\text{O} radicals.
5.3 Atmospheric degradation of dimethyl succinate

All the experiments on the gas-phase oxidation of dimethyl succinate, CH₃OC(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃, were performed in the 405 ℓ reactor in Wuppertal. The oxidation of dimethyl succinate was initiated by reaction with Cl atoms, generated by photolysis of molecular chlorine in the presence of NO. Control experiments on dimethyl succinate-air mixtures were performed i) in the dark and ii) at the maximum light intensity for up to 40 min to check for losses of dimethyl succinate by wall adsorption and photolysis, respectively. Dimethyl succinate showed a wall loss rate of 5.05 × 10⁻⁶ s⁻¹.

The experiment conditions employed are listed in Annex B, Table B.6.

5.3.1 Results

Based on FTIR reference spectra, succinic formic anhydride, CH₂OC(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)OC(O)H, mono-methyl succinate, CH₂OC(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)OH, dimethyl oxaloacetate, CH₃OC(O)C(O)CH₂C(O)OCH₃, methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate and CO were identified among the degradation products. The measured concentrations of these products are plotted as a function of the amounts of dimethyl succinate reacted in Figure 5.2.

Figure 5.2: Formation of succinic formic anhydride (triangles), mono-methyl succinate (squares), CO (circles), dimethyl oxaloacetate (plus) and methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate (dashes) versus loss of dimethyl succinate observed following the Cl atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate in air in the presence of NOx. The data for succinic formic anhydride (triangles) have been displaced vertically by 1 ppm for clarity.

Good straight line plots were obtained; the lack of curvature in the plots strongly supports that all the products are primary and that no secondary reactions generated or removed these reaction products during the time period of the experiments. Least-squares analysis of these data lead to the product formation yields given in Table 5.2.
Table 5.2: Molar yields of the products observed in the Cl atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate in the presence of NO₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Formation yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Succinic formic anhydride</td>
<td>0.341 ± 0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mono</em>-methyl succinate</td>
<td>0.447 ± 0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>0.307 ± 0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl oxaloacetate</td>
<td>0.176 ± 0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate</td>
<td>0.032-0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C-yield</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.824 ± 0.164</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calibrations of *mono*-methyl succinate and dimethyl oxaloacetate were not straightforward because the IR spectra suggested that more than one form of each compound exists in equilibrium in the liquid state and that this equilibrium persists in the gas-phase.

It was attempted to obtain an IR spectrum of *mono*-methyl succinate by heating and flushing a solid sample into the evacuated chamber and then filling to atmospheric pressure with synthetic air. No change in the IR features was observed over a time period of 1 h. It was also attempted to calibrate the solid sample by dissolving it in a solvent, CH₂Cl₂, followed by injection into the chamber. The spectra, in this case, showed with time a slight decrease in the absorption bands of what is most likely the dimer and an increase in the intensities of bands attributed to the monomer. Neither the use of solvents with higher polarity, the heating of the injected solution nor the increase of the temperature of the reaction chamber resulted in further conversion of the compound to the monomeric form. Therefore, it is presently not possible to ascertain if the calibrated IR spectrum of *mono*-methyl succinate really represents the pure monomeric form or a mixture of the dimer and monomer. An analysis of the product spectra from the product experiments afforded an average yield of 0.447 ± 0.111 for methyl succinate. The estimated overall error (±25%) includes uncertainties associated with the analysis of the features of *mono*-methyl succinate.

Dimethyl oxaloacetate exhibits, as reported in the literature [104], complete enolisation in the crystal phase. Being a 1,3-dicarbonyl compound, in solution it exists as an equilibrium mixture of keto and enol forms, the proportionation depending on the polarity of the solvent.
Vapour samples of dimethyl oxaloacetate, introduced into the chamber by heating and flushing samples of the solid with N₂, showed IR features of both keto and enol forms, with an extremely slow conversion rate between the forms compared to the overall dark decay loss to the chamber walls. Therefore, in order to derive a calibrated spectrum for each form, NMR and FTIR spectra of dimethyl oxaloacetate dissolved in CDCl₃ and CHCl₃ were recorded over a time period of seven days. Both spectra showed complete enolisation for the initial freshly prepared solution and, with time, a progressive increase in the fraction of the keto form. Thus, infrared spectra of the pure enol form and, then of the equilibrium mixture of the keto and enol forms were obtained. Furthermore, the NMR solution spectra indicated that the position of the keto-enol equilibrium in CDCl₃ was 83.5% for the keto form and 16.5% for the enol form. Expansions into the reaction chamber of known amounts of the solution at equilibrium allowed keto and enol infrared absorption bands to be calibrated. On the basis of the band positions and the well-behaved subtraction of the reference spectrum from the product spectra, dimethyl oxaloacetate was identified as a product of dimethyl succinate mainly (possibly solely) in the keto form. An evaluation of the total errors involved in the calibration of the IR spectrum of dimethyl oxaloacetate and in the analysis of its features resulted in a yield of 0.176 ± 0.044.

As evident from the above discussion, the FTIR quantification of mono-methyl succinate and dimethyl oxaloacetate concentrations are subject to significant uncertainties.

In the product spectra, spectral features are observed at 1835, 1748, 1308, 1237 and 799 cm⁻¹. These characteristic absorptions are assigned to methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate. The formation of this compound is very dependent on the NO/NO₂ ratio in the experimental system and, consequently, its yield is low at the beginning of the experiment when the NO/NO₂ ratio is high and increases gradually during the course of the experiment as the NO/NO₂ ratio becomes smaller (Figure 5.2). An estimation of the concentration of the peroxy nitrate has been made using the value of 1.09 × 10⁻³ ppm⁻¹ m⁻¹ for the absorption cross section of peroxy acetyl nitrate at 1835 cm⁻¹ available in the literature [96]. Its yield was found to increase from approximately 3 to 8 mol % during the progression of an experiment. The residual product spectra also show the presence of RONO₂-type bands at ~ 843, ~ 1298 and ~ 1679 cm⁻¹. The specific RONO₂ product(s) formed could not be identified. However, an estimate of the molar RONO₂ concentration was made from the integrated intensity of the 1679 cm⁻¹ absorption band and the average integrated absorption coefficient (base10) of (2.5 ± 0.2) × 10⁻¹⁷ cm molecule⁻¹ of the corresponding band of other organic nitrates [37]. Using this value, the average RONO₂ molar formation yield obtained from four experiments was 3.4 ± 0.6%. The
estimated total error includes uncertainties associated with the absorption coefficient used and the generally weak signals observed.

There are no direct quantitative measurements of nitrate yields from any of the peroxy radicals expected to be formed in photooxidations of compounds such as esters, but indirect estimates of overall nitrate yields can be obtained from model simulation of environmental chamber incremental reactivity experiments which are sensitive to this parameter. Such experiments [105] involving the reaction of dimethyl succinate with OH radicals in the presence of NO predicted overall nitrate yields of ~ 10-14% depending on the other mechanistic assumptions which were made.

The reaction products identified and quantified in this work account for 82.4 ± 16.4 %C. When the organic nitrate yield is included, the observed products account for 86 ± 17 %C.

5.3.2 Discussion

The chlorine atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate can proceed by only two pathways, H-atom abstraction from the terminal methyl groups and from the central –CH₂CH₂– entity, since dimethyl succinate is a symmetrical molecule. In the troposphere, the alkyl radicals formed after the H-atom abstractions react solely with O₂ to form the corresponding alkyl peroxy radicals [21, 72]. Further reaction with NO then leads to formation of the analogous alkoxy radicals (Scheme 5.1). The products observed following UV irradiation of dimethyl succinate-Cl₂-NO₃-air mixtures give an insight into the atmospheric fate of the alkoxy radicals formed during the atmospheric oxidation of dimethyl succinate in the presence of NO₃.

The possible reaction pathways of both alkoxy radicals are outlined in Scheme 5.7 and Scheme 5.8.

In the Scheme 5.7, the alkoxy radical CH₃OC(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)OCH₃O’ (IIIa), formed after the H-atom abstraction at the terminal –CH₃ groups of the dibasic ester, undergoes reaction with O₂ to form succinic formic anhydride and an α ester rearrangement to form mono-methyl succinate and the formyl radical which reacts with O₂ to give CO. If there are no additional sources of CO and mono-methyl succinate in the system, as supported by the absence of curvature in plots of their measured concentrations against reacted dimethyl succinate (Figure 5.2), then the molar formation yield of the two products should be identical. The observed discrepancy of 14% between the molar formation yield measured for CO and that for mono-methyl succinate is likely attributable to the significant uncertainties in the calibration of the
acid. It is reasonable to assume that the molar formation yield of CO reflects the upper limit for the molar formation yield for mono-methyl succinate.

![Diagram](image)

**Scheme 5.7: Proposed reaction mechanism for the Cl atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate: abstraction from the terminal CH$_3$ entity.**

In the FTIR spectra of the reaction mixture, formation of HCHO was not observed allowing the decomposition channel of the alkoxy radical CH$_3$OC(O)CH$_2$CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_2$O' to be excluded. This conclusion conforms with similar observations in previous studies on the degradation mechanism of dimethyl glutarate with OH radicals [37] and of methyl propionate with chlorine atoms [this work].

It is concluded from the present data that the atmospheric fate of the CH$_3$OC(O)CH$_2$CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_2$O' radicals is an $\alpha$ ester rearrangement and reaction with O$_2$ with $k_{\alpha, id}/(k_{\alpha, id}[O_2]) = 0.90 \pm 0.25$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_2\text{O} & \xrightarrow{a} \text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OH} + \text{HC'(O)} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_2\text{O}' + \text{O}_2 & \xrightarrow{b} \text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OC(O)H} + \text{HO}_2
\end{align*}
\]

(r. 5.16) (r. 5.17)
Scheme 5.8: Proposed reaction mechanism for the Cl atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate: abstraction from the \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-\) entity.

In Scheme 5.8, the alkoxy radical \(\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH(O')CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3\) (IIIb) formed after H-atom abstraction at the \(-\text{CH}_2-\) groups of dimethyl succinate can react by four different pathways:

i) Reaction with \(\text{O}_2\) will form dimethyl oxaloacetate.

ii) Decomposition of this alkoxy radical via C–C cleavage will lead to 3-oxo-methyl propionate and the methoxy formyl radical, \(\cdot\text{C(O)OCH}_3\). As previously discussed in the case of methyl propionate, the major pathway of the \(\cdot\text{C(O)OCH}_3\) radical, under the experimental conditions of this study, is expected to be addition of \(\text{O}_2\) and further reaction with \(\text{NO}_2\) to form mainly methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate, rather than decomposition to \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\cdot\text{CH}_3\) [103]. Because of the lack of a calibrated spectrum for 3-oxo-methyl propionate identification and quantification was not possible, however, the identification of methoxy formyl peroxy nitrate provided evidence for the occurrence of this pathway.

iii) Decomposition of the alkoxy radical \(\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH(O')CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3\) (IIIb, in Scheme 5.8) via C–C cleavage of the central carbon atoms would produce 2 molecules of methyl glyoxylate. However, this channel obviously is of negligible importance since the compound
was not observed among the products. This result confirms the observations of a previous study [105], which deduced that the reaction channel was unimportant because of its relatively high estimated endothermicity.

iv) The alkoxy radical CH$_3$OC(O)CH(O')CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_3$ (IIIb, in Scheme 5.8) can also undergo a 1-5 H shift isomerisation through a six-membered transition state: because of a lack of the spectra of the several possible polyfunctional products, it is not possible to gauge the relative importance of this pathway in the degradation mechanism of dimethyl succinate.

In conclusion the atmospheric fate of CH$_3$OC(O)CH(O')CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_3$ radicals is reaction with O$_2$ to give dimethyl oxaloacetate and decomposition via C–C cleavage to give 3-oxo-methyl propionate and C(O)OCH$_3$ radical with $k_{510}/(k_{518}[O_2]) = 0.18 \pm 0.10$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH(O')CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + O_2 & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)C(O)CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 \quad \text{(r. 5.18)} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH(O')CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{HC(O)CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + \cdot\text{C(O)OCH}_3 \quad \text{(r. 5.19)}
\end{align*}
\]

The results of the present investigation have helped to elucidate several aspects of the atmospheric photooxidation mechanism of dimethyl succinate. However, the uncertainties encountered in the characterisation and quantification of several of the product species demonstrate the need for the use of complementary analytical methods to study the complex atmospheric chemistry of much large organic compounds.

The atmospheric oxidation of dimethyl succinate is initiated by reaction with OH radicals. Using structure-activity relationships it can be estimated that the relative importance of OH attack on the terminal –CH$_3$ sites and central –CH$_2$– sites is 38% and 62%, respectively [28, 29, 59]. Therefore, combining the estimated relative importance of the two alkoxy radicals formed in the atmospheric degradation of dimethyl succinate with the results presented in sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 concerning their atmospheric fates, it is recommended for the purposes of modelling oxidant formation in urban air masses that the atmospheric chemistry of dimethyl succinate be represented as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + \text{OH} + 1.08 \text{NO} & \rightarrow \\
0.16 \text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OH} + 0.16 \text{CO} + 0.18 \text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OC(O)H} + 0.46 \text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)C(O)CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + 0.08 \text{HC(O)CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3 + 0.08 \text{HCHO} + 0.08 \text{CO}_2 + 0.88 \text{HO}_2 + 0.96 \text{NO}_2 + 0.12 \text{RONO}_2
\end{align*}
\]
In the derivation of the above equation, in order to achieve 100% mass balance more significance (12%) has been attributed to the formation of organic nitrate according to the predicted overall nitrate yields of ~ 10-14% obtained from model simulation of environmental chamber incremental reactivity experiments involving the reaction of dimethyl succinate with OH radicals in the presence of NO [105]. Further it has been assumed that the 12% organic nitrate yield is composed of 4% CH$_3$OC(O)CH$_2$CH$_2$C(O)OCH$_2$ONO$_2$ and 8% CH$_3$OC(O)CH$_2$CH(ONO$_2$)C(O)OCH$_3$ and that the fate of C(O)OCH$_3$ radicals is addition of O$_2$ followed by reaction with NO and decomposition to give CO$_2$ and CH$_3$O radicals.
Chapter 6

Functional group reactivity

6.1 Alcohol

In an attempt to better define the OH functional group reactivity in VOCs, the rate coefficients for the reaction of OH radicals with 1-butanol and 1-pentanol are considered, in the following discussion, together with the OH reaction kinetic data for a series of aliphatic alcohols and analogous n-alkanes (see Table 6.1).

Table 6.1: Rate coefficients (in units of $10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) for the reaction of OH radicals and Cl atoms with a series of aliphatic alcohols and the corresponding n-alkanes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n-alkane</th>
<th>$k_{\text{CH}_2}$ [21]</th>
<th>$k_{\text{Cl}}$ [72]</th>
<th>aliphatic alcohol</th>
<th>$k_{\text{CH}_2}$ [21]</th>
<th>$k_{\text{Cl}}$ [23]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.00686</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>CH$_3$OH</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_5$OH</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$H$_8$</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>C$_3$H$_7$OH</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>4$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>C$_4$H$_9$OH</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>5$H$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>C$<em>5$H$</em>{11}$OH</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{14}$</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{13}$OH</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>7$H$</em>{16}$</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>C$<em>7$H$</em>{15}$OH</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>8$H$</em>{18}$</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>C$<em>8$H$</em>{17}$OH</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reaction of OH radicals with alcohols may involve hydrogen atom abstraction from both the C–H and O–H bonds, the former process being more favoured on thermochemical grounds; e.g., $D(\text{H–CH}_2\text{OH}) = 393.3 \pm 8.4$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ and $D(\text{CH}_3\text{O–H}) = 435.1 \pm 4.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ [86]. The available evidence suggests that H-atom abstraction from the alcohol group in CH$_3$OH accounts for a maximum of 15% of the reaction at room temperature [106, 107]. The contribution to the overall rate coefficient from this channel for the reaction of OH radicals with higher members of the aliphatic alcohol series is likely to be less significant.
The increase in reactivity observed for H-atom abstraction by OH radicals for CH₃OH and C₆H₅OH compared to the corresponding alkanes can be rationalised in terms of the lowering of the C–H bond dissociation energy for the carbon bound to an alcoholic oxygen. Thus, the observed increase in reactivity of the CH₃ group in CH₃OH, \( k(\text{OH} + \text{CH₃OH}) = 9.44 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), compared to C₆H₆, \( k(\text{OH} + \text{C₆H₆}) = 2.57 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) [21, 72] is entirely consistent with a lowering of the C–H bond dissociation energy of about 17-21 kJ mol⁻¹, \( D(\text{H–CH₃OH}) = 393.3 \pm 8.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) and \( D(\text{CH₃CH₂–H}) = 410 \pm 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) [86]. The increase in reactivity for secondary H atoms of the alcohols over those of the analogous alkane is less pronounced, presumably as a result of the smaller decrease in bond dissociation energies. The data reported in Table 6.1 indicate that the rate coefficients for the reaction of OH radicals with straight chain alcohols increase almost linearly with the alkyl chain length up to about 5 carbons atoms. Furthermore, the addition of further CH₃ groups to the alkyl chain of the alcohol produces an increase in the reactivity of the molecule toward OH larger than that found for CH₃ group addition in the corresponding unsubstituted alkanes. In an attempt to quantify this increase in terms of the alkyl chain length over which this effect is operative, rate coefficient contributions for H-atom abstraction from the \( n \)-alkyl groups attached to a –CH₃ and to the –OH in the alcohols have been calculated. The difference between the estimated rate coefficient contributions for H-atom abstraction from the alkyl group (R) in the two compounds is \( \Delta k \) in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2: Calculated rate coefficient differences \( \Delta k \) (in units of \( 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \)) between \( n \)-alkanes and alcohols for OH radical attack at the linear aliphatic chain (R).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>( k_{\text{OH}} ) in R–CH₃ ( ^a )</th>
<th>( k_{\text{OH}} ) in R–OH ( ^b )</th>
<th>( \Delta k ) ( ^c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₃</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>+8.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₅</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>+22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃H₇</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>+31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄H₉</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>+47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₅H₁₁</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>+56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₆H₁₃</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>+54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₇H₁₅</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>+51.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Calculated from OH rate coefficient data for alkanes [21] minus contribution from a terminal CH₃ group \([k(\text{CH₃}) = 0.5 k(\text{OH} + \text{C₆H₆})]\).

\(^b\) Rate coefficient data for alcohols [21]. Abstraction from –OH is assumed to be negligible.

\(^c\) \( \Delta k = k(\text{R–OH}) - k(\text{R–CH₃}) \).
Figure 6.1 shows a plot of the $\Delta k$ values determined in Table 6.2 as a function of the number of CH$_2$ groups. When the addition of a CH$_2$ group to the chain has the same effect as that in the n-alkanes, the plot should reach a plateau. The graph shows that the activating effect of the OH functional group extends up to 5 carbon atoms in the alcohol chain. The slight curvature exhibited by the plot up to C$_5$ indicates that the activating effect gradually lessens on increasing the number of CH$_2$ groups in the alkyl chain: as the chain length increases, the increase of the reactivity of the alcohol should eventually reach that found in the unsubstituted alkanes.

Based on the kinetic data reported in Table 6.1, Wallington et al. [22] and Nelson et al. [23] proposed a “long range activating effect” theory as interpretation of this enhanced reactivity of the alcohols. The OH functional group was suggested to have an activating effect for the reaction with OH radicals which extends over about 4 carbon atoms: the CH$_2$ groups in the C$_2$ to C$_4$ position would then have similar reactivities which appear to be about a factor of 2 higher in the alcohols than in the corresponding alkanes. The “long range activating effect” of the OH group is, however, unexpected in terms of thermochemical data since the available bond dissociation energies indicate any decrease in the C–H bond strength should be limited to the $\alpha$ carbon atoms [86]. Similarly, it is unlikely that inductive effects can operate over such a large number of carbon atoms. Hence, the increased reactivity must result from some other mechanistic effect, indicating possibly an alternative reaction pathway to the direct concerted H-atom abstraction process observed for n-alkanes. A correlation between the reactivities of OH radicals and Cl atoms for their reaction with alcohols might be expected since they are electrophilic species and both reactions involve H-atom abstraction. As discussed for the OH reactions, the abstraction of H-atoms bound to an $\alpha$ carbon atom is enhanced relative to the
corresponding \( n \)-alkane, this is also true for the Cl reactions. However, for the reaction of Cl atoms with alcohols, the observed increases in reactivity due to addition of further CH\(_2\) groups to the alkyl chain are those expected on the basis of the CH\(_2\) group rate coefficients derived from reactions of Cl atom with unsubstituted alkanes, \( k_{3} = 5.6 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [62] (Table 6.1). Hence, in contrast to the OH radical reaction, for Cl atom reactions, the OH group does not appear to activate C–H bonds other that at the C atom directly bonded to the functional group. It is possible that Cl atom reactions are sufficiently facile that any long range effect on the reactivity may be small and not easily identified. However, the kinetic data indicate that H-atom abstraction from alcohols by OH radicals and Cl atoms may be a mechanistically different process. It has been proposed [108, 109] that the enhanced reactivity observed in reactions of OH with alcohols may be due to the stabilisation of the transition states for the reactions resulting from the interaction of the attacking OH radical with the alcohol functional group through hydrogen bonding. Thus, reaction of OH radicals with an H-atom at the \( \alpha \) carbon atom of an alcohol would involve a five-membered ring while hydrogen abstraction from \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) carbons atoms would give rise to six- and seven-membered ring transition states, respectively. In terms of ring strain and entropy, it is likely that the five- and six-membered ring structure for reaction at the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) carbon atom will provide a higher degree of stabilisation than the seven-membered ring formed by abstraction at the \( \gamma \) carbon.

Product studies [30, 31, 32, 49, this work] on the degradation mechanism of alcohols strongly support that the main reaction pathway involves a H-atom abstraction from the carbon at the \( \alpha \) position and the reaction pathways involving the H-atom abstraction from CH\(_2\) groups at the \( \beta, \gamma \) etc. positions decrease in importance with increasing distance from the functional group. The final product distribution and the OH reaction rate coefficients allow the derivation of group rate coefficients for the different CH\(_2\) groups present in the molecule. The results suggest that the OH functional group mainly enhances the activity almost exclusively of the carbon in \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) positions to the –OH group and that the other carbons show a reactivity similar to that observed for the alkanes. Thus, the addition of further CH\(_2\) groups – up to five carbons – to the alcohol chain appears to favour, by inductive (electron donating) effect, the reactivity of the carbon in the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) positions to the –OH group.
6.2 Ester

In an attempt to draw some general conclusions about the structure-reactivity relationship for esters, rate coefficients for the reaction of OH radicals with a series esters has been compared, in the following discussion, with those of the corresponding \(n\)-alkyl ethers and ketones which are the component functional groups of esters.

Table 6.3: Calculated contribution (in units of \(10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\)) of the alkyl groups (\(R\)) in alkanes, ethers and esters to the overall reaction rate coefficient with OH radicals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(R)</th>
<th>(\text{CH}_3-R^a)</th>
<th>(\text{RO}-R^b)</th>
<th>(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}-R^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CH}_3)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{C}_3\text{H}_7)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{C}<em>5\text{H}</em>{11})</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated from OH rate coefficient data for alkanes minus the contribution from a terminal \(\text{CH}_3\) group: \(k(\text{CH}_3) = 0.5k_{\text{D}}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)\) [21].

\(^b\) Calculated as \(0.5k_{\text{D}}(\text{RO} - R)\) [110].

\(^c\) Calculated from OH rate coefficient data for \(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}\) minus the contribution from \(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}\) group, \(k(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}) = 4.21 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) [28, 29].

The contribution of \(n\)-alkyl groups (\(R\)) to the overall rate coefficients with OH radicals has been calculated for alkanes, ethers and esters. The group rate coefficients, derived from the literature, are listed in Table 6.3. The significant changes in reactivity of \(\text{CH}_3\) and \(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\) groups bonded directly to the oxygenated functional groups, compared to their reactivity in ethane and propane, respectively, can be rationalised, at least in part, in terms of changes in the C–H bond energies at carbon atoms positioned \(\alpha\) to an ether oxygen; thus, the increase in reactivity of the \(\text{CH}_3\) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{O}–\text{CH}_3\) relative to that in ethane is in line with a lowering of the C–H bond dissociation energy of approximately 34 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), \(D(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{H}) = 423 \pm 1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\) and \(D(\text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_2\text{H}) = 389 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\) [111]. Moving to longer \(n\)-alkyl chains, the rate coefficients per \(\text{CH}_2\)– group are found to be significantly higher in the ethers than in the corresponding alkanes (Table 6.3). The activating effect of the ether group operative over about four carbon atoms, cannot, however, be explained in terms of bond energies or inductive effects and suggests an alternative reaction pathway to the direct concerted hydrogen abstraction process observed for alkanes. It has been proposed [108, 109] that this enhancement of the group reactivity toward OH may be due to the stabilisation of transition
states resulting from the co-ordination of the attacking OH radical with the ether group. It appears, from the data set presented in Table 6.3, that ester (CH$_2$C(O)O–) substituent also activates aliphatic chains bound to the ether oxygen with respect to OH radicals in a manner similar to the ether (RO–); however, the significant reduction in the reactivity of the alkoxy group in the esters compared to that in ethers is presumably a result of the deactivating inductive effect of the ester carbonyl adjacent to the alkoxy group.

The contribution of $n$-alkyl groups (R) to the overall rate coefficients with OH radicals has been calculated for alkanes, ketones and methyl esters. The group rate coefficients, derived from the literature, are listed in Table 6.4.

Table 6.4: Calculated contribution (in units of 10$^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) of the $n$-alkyl groups (R) in alkanes, ketones and methyl esters to the overall reaction rate coefficient with OH radicals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>CH$_3$–R $^b$</th>
<th>CH$_2$C(O)–R</th>
<th>CH$_3$OC(O)–R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1 $^b$</td>
<td>0.67 $^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_5$</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.4 $^c$</td>
<td>6.8 $^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated from OH rate coefficient data for alkanes minus the contribution from a terminal CH$_3$ group: $k$(CH$_3$) = 0.5·$k$(OH + C$_3$H$_7$) [21].

$^b$ Calculated as 0.5·$k$(OH + CH$_3$C(O)CH$_3$) [113].

$^c$ Calculated as 0.5·$k$(OH + CH$_3$CH$_2$C(O)CH$_2$CH$_3$) [113].

$^d$ $k$(–OC(O)CH$_3$) = 0.67 as derived from the results of a product study on OH reaction with ethyl acetate [35].

Rather surprisingly, in ketones the carbonyl functional group (CH$_2$C(O)–) appears to have little effect on the reactivity of the –CH$_3$ and –CH$_2$CH$_3$ groups: the rate coefficients for reaction of OH with ethane and acetone and with propane and 2-propanone are very similar [21] [$k$(OH + ethane) = 0.257; $k$(OH + acetone) = 0.219; $k$(OH + propane) = 1.15; $k$(OH + 2-propanone) = 1.15, in units of 10$^{12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$]. This result may be expected in terms of bond dissociation energies since the C–H bond strengths in acetone and ethane and 2-propanone and propane are approximately equal [111]. However, the carbonyl group is strongly electron withdrawing and hence reaction of the electrophilic OH radical with ketones might be expected to be considerably less facile than with alkanes. It is instructive to compare the reactivity of ketones towards Cl atoms with that of alkanes, in particular, the rate coefficient for reaction of Cl atoms with acetone is around four times smaller than that with ethane [112]. Presumably this decrease in reactivity is due to the decrease in electron density on the methyl hydrogen atoms
in acetone due to the negative inductive effect of the electron withdrawing carbonyl group. On this basis, it would be expected that the reaction of OH radicals with CH₂C(O)CH₃ would also be less facile than with C₂H₆. Carr et al. [112] and Wallington et al. [113] have suggested that the deactivating effect of the carbonyl group in acetone and in larger ketones is compensated, in their reaction with OH radicals, by the stabilisation of the transition state involving a coordination of the electrophilic hydroxyl radical with the carbonyl ketone group. Such stabilisation of the transition state via hydrogen bonding is not possible in Cl atom reactions and this accounts for the observation that the reaction of Cl with acetone is significantly slower than that with ethane [112]. The comparison between the reactivities of –CH₃ and –CH₂CH₃ groups bonded to the carbonyl group in esters and those in ketones reveals that the carbonyl functional group in the esters, contrary to what is observed for ketones, has a strong deactivating influence on the adjacent aliphatic chains, R (R–C(O)OCH₃) (Table 6.4). Presumably, replacement of an electron donating methyl group in ketones (R–C(O)CH₃) by a withdrawing –O– leads to a considerable increase in the deactivating inductive effect of the carbonyl group on adjacent n-alkyl groups in methyl esters (R–C(O)OCH₃).
Chapter 7

Atmospheric implications

It has been recognised for the last fifty years that each individual organic compound may have a different quantitative impact on photochemical ozone formation [114], and hence that the application of oxidant control strategies requires stringent emission reductions for highly reactive VOCs and replacement programmes by low-reactivity organics [115]. This in turn leads to a requirement for a rational assessment of VOC reactivity – role and contribution of individual VOC to ozone and secondary photooxidant formation – as a basis for control decisions.

The atmospheric fate of primary aliphatic alcohols and methyl esters appears to be largely controlled by their reaction with the OH radical, since photolysis and reaction with O₃ and NO₃ radicals are very slow [21]. As shown in Scheme 7.1, in the presence of NO₃ the hydroxyl radicals initiate a rapid sequence of reactions involving organic peroxo, RO₂⁻, and alkoxy, RO', radicals, and the hydroperoxy radical, HO₂', ultimately leading to the regeneration of OH.

Scheme 7.1: Schematic representation of the free radical initiated oxidation of a VOC into its first generation carbonyl product(s) in the presence of NO₃ and the associated formation ozone.
Whereas the rates of reaction with OH govern the lifetimes and oxidation rates of VOCs, the reactions of the peroxy radicals, RO₂⁻ and HO₂⁻, provide the coupling with NOₓ chemistry by oxidising NO to NO₂, and, thus, cause an increase in ozone formed from the photolysis of NO₂ and the subsequent reaction with oxygen.

Although the OH radical rate coefficients for 1-butanol, 1-pentanol, methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate [this work, Table 3.2] are much higher than the rate coefficient of 2.7 × 10⁻¹₅ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for ethane [21] – the compound used by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, as the informal standard for determining “negligible” reactivity –, on a per mass basis the rate coefficients relative to ethane are 12.4 and 13.9 for 1-butanol, 1-pentanol, respectively and 1.17 and 1.47, for methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate, respectively. This means that if the amount reacted is quantified by mass, then the alcohols react relatively more rapidly in the atmosphere than ethane does but the esters are only slightly more reactive than ethane in this respect. However, it may be inappropriate to attempt to derive a single reactivity scale for organic compounds that depends only on how rapidly they react, since their atmospheric reactivity in terms of ozone formation potential depends significantly on the nature of reaction mechanisms, oxidation products and the type of environment in which the organics are emitted (VOC/NOₓ ratio).

- **Alcohols.** The experimental results in sections 4.2 and 4.3 indicate that the OH radical reaction with alcohols proceeds largely by the H-atom abstraction from the site adjacent to the hydroxy functional group; the further reaction with O₂ of the α hydroxy alkyl radicals formed yields HO₂ directly and the corresponding aldehyde, such that RO₂⁻ and RO⁻ radicals do not participate in this initial oxidation step. In contrast, OH radical attack at the position β, γ and δ of the two primary alcohols leads to formation of peroxy radicals and then alkoxy radicals which show the tendency to undergo mainly thermal decomposition and/or isomerisation; in these cases, a sequence of reactions involves additional peroxy radicals and additional NO oxidations (see Scheme 7.1).

The first generation oxidation products resulting from all the reaction pathways of primary alcohols are mainly aldehydes and, to a minor extent, hydroxy aldehydes, hydroxy ketones and hydroxy alkyl nitrates. It is clearly of interest to consider the propensity of the oxidation products to generate ozone, noting however, that VOCs also affect ozone formation indirectly. If the reactions of a VOC enhance or inhibit overall radical levels, it would, consequently, affect how rapidly all other VOCs present react and form ozone. While the photolysis of the aldehydes, especially of formaldehyde, has a positive effect on the ozone formation by secondary radical formation, the reaction of OH radicals with the aldehydes (≥ C₂) slows up
ozone formation, since it leads to the generation of peroxo acyl nitrates \([R\text{-}C(O)O}_2^+ + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow R\text{-}C(O)OO\text{ONO}_2]\) which act as temporary reservoirs for free radicals and \(\text{NO}_x\). Peroxy acyl nitrates typically have thermal decomposition lifetimes of less than 1 h [116] under the conditions in the planetary boundary layer, before regeneration of \(\text{NO}_x\) and free radicals by the reverse reaction. The hydroxy ketone products, formed to a minor extent in the degradation of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, are slightly less reactive towards OH radicals [117] than the aldehydes and their photolysis is also less important than for the aldehydes such that they have a minor secondary influence on ozone formation.

The formation of thermally stable organic nitrates by the reactions \(\text{RO}_2^+ + \text{NO}_x\) is expected to inhibit ozone formation as it is a sink for the free radicals and \(\text{NO}_x\). The overall atmospheric lifetimes of the \(\beta\), \(\gamma\) and \(\delta\) hydroxy alkyl nitrates due to photolysis and to OH reaction are estimated to be 2-7 days [118, 119]. This implies that these compounds may be important \(\text{NO}_x\) reservoir species and can survive long range transport to regions remote from the original pollution source influencing regional and possibly global tropospheric ozone production by the subsequent \(\text{NO}_x\) release. Hydroxy nitrates are, however, minor products of the oxidation of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, accounting for only an estimated 4\% and 7\%, respectively of total first generation products at high \(\text{NO}_x\).

- **Esters.** The experimental product data of the present studies [see section 5.2 and 5.3] have helped to elucidate several aspects of the atmospheric degradation mechanisms of methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate; in particular, they have provided insight into the reaction routes of the alkoxy radicals formed during the atmospheric oxidation of these esters. The intermediate alkoxy radicals are observed to undergo, with different relative importance, reaction with oxygen, isomerisation and/or decomposition.

The bulk of the photooxidation of both esters produces multifunctional oxygenated compounds such as \(\text{HC(O)C(O)OCH}_3\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)C(O)OCH}_3\), and \(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OH}\) in the case of methyl propionate and \(\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OH}\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OC(O)H}\) and \(\text{CH}_3\text{OC(O)C(O)CH}_2\text{C(O)OCH}_3\) in the case of dimethyl succinate which all have a lower reactivity towards OH radicals than the parent compounds. In particular, anhydrides and acids, especially those with lower molecular weight, are highly soluble compounds and may be rapidly incorporated into clouds droplets (where hydration of the anhydrides leads to acid formation) and rained out, contributing to the acidity of precipitation.

The formation of organic nitrates, via processes such as \(\text{RO}_2^+ + \text{NO}_x\), in the degradation of methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate are poorly quantified and their overall formation
yields could only be estimated. As described above, organic nitrates may play an important role in regional air chemistry due to their relatively long atmospheric lifetimes.

Finally, in order to estimate the tendency of the investigated compounds to promote ozone formation, all the aspects of their reaction mechanisms must be combined with the various characteristics of the environment into which they are emitted, in computer model simulations.

- **Alcohols.** To date, there have been only a few published studies on the ability of alcohols to generate ozone. Derwent *et al.* [13, 120] estimated the incremental change in ozone produced by an additional incremental mass emission of alcohols in a photochemical trajectory model using a realistic air mass trajectory for regional scale ozone formation across Northwest Europe. The primary alcohols show Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential values \[\text{POCP} = \frac{\text{ozone increment with the alcohol/ozone increment with ethylene}}{\times 100}\] ranging from 20 for methanol to 63 for 1-butanol. Note that ethane, regarded as unreactive species with a negligible contribution to regional scale ozone formation, has a POCP of 12.3 [120]. These results have been confirmed by recent modelling studies of Jenkin *et al.* [121] and Andersson-Sköld *et al.* [122] on the photochemical ozone creation potentials of oxygenated organic compounds in the planetary boundary layer over Northwest Europe. No specific and quantitative indications on the ozone impact were found in the literature for 1-pentanol.

- **Esters.** There are a number of different ways to define the VOC effects on ozone. Similar to the POCP concept is “incremental reactivity” criterion developed by Carter and Atkinson [123, 124]. This is defined as the amount of additional ozone formation resulting from the addition of a small amount of the compound to the system in which ozone is formed, divided by the amount of compound added. Carter [105] reported the incremental reactivities calculated for dimethyl succinate, relative to ethene, for various scenarios representing different urban ozone exceedence areas around the United States [105]. The predicted ozone impact for dimethyl succinate, relative to an equal mass of ethane, is \(\sim 0.65 – 0.8\) [105]; the relative reactivity appeared to be only slightly affected by variations in scenarios conditions or how ozone was quantified. The estimated dimethyl succinate mechanism used by Carter [105] in these atmospheric simulations differs, in some respects significantly, in the branching ratios of competing reactions of the various alkoxy radicals from the mechanism proposed here on the basis of the experimental results of the product study.

No estimates of the potential effect of methyl propionate on ozone formation in urban atmosphere were found in the literature. Derwent *et al.* [13, 120] reported POCP values relative to ethylene for a series of esters (including methyl formate, and the acetates from methyl
acetate up to 2-butyl acetate). Esters showed low atmospheric reactivity with POCPs which, in some cases, were lower than that of ethane regarded as unreactive species.

In general, the estimates of the potential effect on ozone formation calculated for alcohols and esters indicates that oxygenated (especially highly oxygenated) VOCs tend to be inefficient ozone producers. This is partly because there are fewer oxidation steps available before complete oxidation to CO₂ and partly because the presence of oxygen tends to decrease the reactivity towards OH per unit molecular weight. Consequently, the chemical properties which make them suitable candidates as alternative solvents and relatively harmless compounds regarding health also appear to be associated with low potential for ozone production. This is particularly the case for the esters which, in addition to being relatively unreactive itself, have also very unreactive oxidation products. These species appear, therefore, to be of some potential significance for the replacement and substitution of more reactive organic compounds in industrial or chemical processes and as solvents.
Chapter 8

Summary

The decision whether it is appropriate and beneficial for the environment to deploy specific oxygenated organic compounds as replacements for traditional solvent types requires a quantitative assessment of their potential atmospheric impacts including tropospheric ozone and other photooxidant formation. This involves developing chemical mechanisms for the gas-phase atmospheric oxidation of the compounds which can be reliably used in models to predict their atmospheric reactivity under a variety of environmental conditions. Until this study, there was very little information available concerning the atmospheric fate of alcohols and esters. The objectives of this study were to measure the atmospheric reaction rates and to define atmospheric reaction mechanisms for the following selected oxygenated volatile organic compounds: the alcohols, 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, and the esters, methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate.

The study has successfully addressed these objectives.

Relative rate coefficients have been measured for the reactions of the hydroxyl radicals with 1-butanol, 1-pentanol, methyl propionate and three dibasic esters dimethyl succinate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl adipate. The rate coefficients (in units of cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) were found to be $(8.28 \pm 0.85) \times 10^{-12}$ and $(1.11 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-11}$ for 1-butanol and 1-pentanol, respectively; $(9.29 \pm 1.13) \times 10^{-13}$ for methyl propionate; $(1.95 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-12}$, $(2.18 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-12}$ and $(3.73 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-12}$ for dimethyl succinate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl adipate, respectively. In addition, rate coefficients have been also measured for the reactions of chlorine atoms with methyl propionate and its major degradation products – propionic formic anhydride, propionic acid and methyl pyruvate – and with the three dibasic esters. The present kinetic data improve the reliability and precision of the OH radical and Cl atom kinetic data base required to develop structure-reactivity relationships for OH and Cl reaction with VOCs, especially for oxygenated compounds, and to predict their tropospheric lifetime.

The tropospheric degradation of alcohols and esters is initiated by reaction with hydroxyl radicals. The OH radical abstracts a hydrogen from a C–H group and the alkyl radical formed...
rapidly adds O$_2$ to generate a peroxo radical, RO$_2^\cdot$ . In the presence of NO, peroxo radicals react with NO to produce the corresponding alkoxy radicals, RO', and NO$_2$. Alkoxy radicals are important intermediates in the atmospheric oxidation of most volatile organic compounds. Alkoxy radicals have been shown to undergo a variety of degradation pathways – reaction with oxygen, unimolecular decomposition or isomerisation – resulting in the complex behaviour exhibited in the oxidation of organic compounds under atmospheric conditions.

Product investigations of the room temperature gas-phase reaction of OH radicals with 1-butanol and 1-pentanol in the presence of NO$_x$ have been carried out in the outdoor EUPHORE smog chamber facility in Valencia/Spain. The observed oxidation products and their distribution have allowed the construction of the first explicit degradation mechanism of long-chain alcohols. Several interesting general conclusions can be drawn about the atmospheric fate of primary alcohols:

- the OH radical reaction with primary alcohols proceeds principally by hydrogen atom abstraction from the $-\text{CH}_2-$ group at the $\alpha$ position to the $-\text{OH}$ functional group due to the activating effect of this entity. The $\alpha$ hydroxy alkyl radical thus formed reacts with oxygen to form the corresponding aldehyde in unit yield.
- The reaction channels involving H-atom abstraction from $-\text{CH}_2-$ groups at the $\beta$, $\gamma$ etc. positions gradually decrease in importance, since the activating effect of the $-\text{OH}$ group lessens with increasing distance from the functional group.
- The dominant reaction pathway of the $\beta$, $\gamma$ etc. hydroxy alkoxy radicals, RO' appears to be decomposition to form aldehydes and hydroxy carbonyl compounds.
- The first generation oxidation products are mainly aldehydes and, to a minor extent, hydroxy aldehydes and hydroxy ketones; their further reactions with OH radicals and photolysis have an important influence on ozone formation and on the chemistry and transport of NO$_x$ in the troposphere.

Based on the results of the product studies, detailed atmospheric degradation mechanisms have been constructed for the OH radical initiated oxidation of 1-butanol and 1-pentanol.

The reaction of OH radicals with the investigated esters, methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate, is relatively slow, making photoreactor chamber studies of the oxidation products difficult and their analysis inaccurate. Thus, the faster reactions with chlorine atoms were
employed as a surrogate to mimic the OH radical reactions, allowing the first detailed elucidation of the oxidation mechanism of methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate.

In general, reactions of chlorine atoms with VOCs represent a convenient method to generate the possible alkoxy radicals and to investigate their atmospheric fates.

- Alkoxy radicals of structure RC(O)OCH₂O' undergo i) α ester rearrangement resulting in the formation of the corresponding acid RC(O)OH and the formyl radical HC(=O); ii) bimolecular reaction with O₂ yielding the anhydride RC(O)OC(O)H.

- The other possible alkoxy radicals formed in the degradation mechanism of these esters are found to undergo, with different relative importance, reaction with oxygen, decomposition or, if possible, isomerisation to form multifunctional oxygenated products which show a lower reactivity towards OH radicals than the parents compounds.

A Structure–Activity Relationship (SAR) method has been used to predict the relative importance of the various alkoxy radicals formed in the gas-phase reaction of these esters with OH radicals; these estimates have been combined with the alkoxy radicals fates ascertained in Cl atoms studies to propose atmospheric degradation mechanisms for the OH radical initiated oxidation of methyl propionate and dimethyl succinate.

The investigated esters appear to exhibit low reactivity with respect to ozone and other secondary pollutant formation. These species would appear to be suitable candidates as replacements in a substitution programme for aromatic and olefinic hydrocarbon solvents.

Finally, the mechanisms constructed from the product data obtained in this work can be incorporated into models to obtain accurate estimates of the impacts of the ozone formation from these compounds. The results from the models could serve as a basis for beneficial decision making.
## Annex A

### Abbreviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFC</td>
<td>Chlorofluorocarbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE</td>
<td>Dibasic ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-4</td>
<td>Dimethyl succinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-5</td>
<td>Dimethyl glutarate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-6</td>
<td>Dimethyl adipate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental protection agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUPHORE</td>
<td>European photoreactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>Fourier transform infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Gas chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>High performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Infrared (≥ 700 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPR</td>
<td>Methyl propionate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPYR</td>
<td>Methyl pyruvate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMVOC</td>
<td>Non-methane volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>NO + NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>It consists of NO, NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, nitric acid (HNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;) inorganic aerosol nitrate (NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;•) nitrous acid (HNO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;), nitrate radical (NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;), peroxy nitric acid (HOONO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;), chlorine nitrate (ClONO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;) dinitrogen pentoxide (N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;), PAN and other nitrates of various types.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVOC</td>
<td>Oxygenated volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>Peroxy acetyl nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POCP</td>
<td>Photochemical ozone creation potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>Parts per billion (1 ppb = 2.46 × 10&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; molecules cm&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt; at 1 bar and 298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>Parts per million (1 ppm = 2.46 × 10&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt; molecules cm&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt; at 1 bar and 298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA</td>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRFA</td>
<td>Propionic formic anhydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>Structure activity relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet (200-400 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIS</td>
<td>Visible (400-700 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex B

### Experimental parameters

Table B.1: Reaction rate coefficients of the employed reference compounds in cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Reaction rate coefficient</th>
<th>$k_{\text{o}H}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{i}3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>CH$_3$OH</td>
<td>$(9.32 \pm 2.33) \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_5$OH</td>
<td>$(3.27 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-propanol</td>
<td>C$_3$H$_7$OH</td>
<td>$(5.53 \pm 1.66) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-butane</td>
<td>$n$-C$<em>4$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>$(2.53 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$-hexane</td>
<td>$\epsilon$-C$<em>6$H$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>$(7.49 \pm 1.87) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-methane</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl</td>
<td>$(4.80 \pm 0.96) \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl-ethane</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_5$Cl</td>
<td>$(8.04 \pm 0.57) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$iso$-Cl-propane</td>
<td>$iso$-C$_5$H$_7$Cl</td>
<td>$(2.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>$(5.74 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl formate</td>
<td>CH$_3$OC(O)H</td>
<td>$(1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B.2 Experimental conditions used in experiments performed to measure OH and Cl reaction rate coefficients. DBE-4 = dimethyl succinate; DBE-5 = dimethyl adipate; DBE-6 = dimethyl glutarate; 
min = photolysis duration in minutes; c = concentration in ppm, N = number of the experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>c_{substrate}</th>
<th>c_{ref}</th>
<th>c_{substrate}/c_{ref}</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>c-C_6H_{12}</td>
<td>7-15</td>
<td>11.1-14</td>
<td>2.5-4</td>
<td>4:1-3:1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>c-C_6H_{12}</td>
<td>8-15</td>
<td>9-11.8</td>
<td>2.5-4</td>
<td>3:1-4:1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>CH_3OH</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>7.8-10.6</td>
<td>22.4-30</td>
<td>1:2-1:4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH_3CH_2OH</td>
<td>8-19</td>
<td>7.8-10.6</td>
<td>15.4-20.6</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-4</td>
<td>CH_3C_6H_{10}</td>
<td>24-28</td>
<td>8.9-2</td>
<td>17.3-22.2</td>
<td>1:2-1:3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_6H_{14}</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>8.9-2</td>
<td>15.3-20</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-5</td>
<td>C_6H_{12}</td>
<td>17-22</td>
<td>14.3-16.4</td>
<td>19.8-30.4</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_6H_{14}</td>
<td>17-25</td>
<td>14.3-16.4</td>
<td>14.5-28.2</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-6</td>
<td>C_6H_{18}</td>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>16.6-20.3</td>
<td>20.1-36.2</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_6H_{14}</td>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>12.9-20.6</td>
<td>5.9-7.1</td>
<td>2:1-3:1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>C_2H_5Cl</td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>9.5-12.6</td>
<td>24.7-37</td>
<td>1:2-1:3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_6H_6</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>9.5-12.6</td>
<td>30.2-37</td>
<td>1:3-1:4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic formic anhydride</td>
<td>C_2H_5Cl</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>8.4-22.1</td>
<td>16.8-25.3</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH_2OC(O)H</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>8.4-22.1</td>
<td>9.8-14.7</td>
<td>1:2-2:1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid</td>
<td>C_2H_5Cl</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH_2OC(O)H</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>12.2-20.3</td>
<td>2.4-5</td>
<td>4:1-5:1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl pyruvate</td>
<td>CH_2OC(O)H</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH_2Cl</td>
<td>10-17</td>
<td>9.8-13.3</td>
<td>14.8-24.7</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-4</td>
<td>C_6H_5Cl</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>6.9-9.1</td>
<td>24.7-41.9</td>
<td>1:3-1:6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iso-C_6H_5Cl</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>6.9-9.1</td>
<td>6.6-16.5</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-5</td>
<td>C_6H_5Cl</td>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>7.1-8.3</td>
<td>24.5-37</td>
<td>1:3-1:5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iso-C_6H_5Cl</td>
<td>9-13</td>
<td>6.1-7.2</td>
<td>9.9-11.2</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE-6</td>
<td>C_6H_6Cl</td>
<td>9-15</td>
<td>5.5-7.4</td>
<td>24.5-37</td>
<td>1:3-1:7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iso-C_6H_6Cl</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>5.5-7.4</td>
<td>9.9-11.2</td>
<td>1:1-1:2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*480 l reactor; 24 superactinic lamps.
*405 l reactor; 18 fluorescent lamps.
*Correction for wall loss according to the Eq. II.
Table B.3: Experimental conditions used in experiments for the mechanistic study of the OH radical initiated oxidation of 1-pentanol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>HONO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of experiments</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length (m)</td>
<td>554.5 (EUPHORE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis time (min)</td>
<td>186-315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra number</td>
<td>21-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra scans</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra time resolution (min)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatograms number</td>
<td>14-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatograms time resolution (min)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>298 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (mbar)</td>
<td>1001 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppb) 1-pentanol</td>
<td>850-950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>28-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppb) HONO</td>
<td>28-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Pentanol consumption</td>
<td>30-40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.4: Experimental conditions used in experiments for the mechanistic study of the OH radical initiated oxidation of 1-butanol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>HONO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of experiments</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length (m)</td>
<td>554.5 (EUPHORE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis time (min)</td>
<td>75-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra number</td>
<td>10-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra scans</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra time resolution (min)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatograms number</td>
<td>17-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatograms time resolution (min)</td>
<td>5, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>298 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (mbar)</td>
<td>1001 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppb) 1-butanol</td>
<td>580-600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>65-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppb) HONO</td>
<td>65-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Butanol consumption</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B.5: Experimental conditions used in experiments for the mechanistic study of the Cl atom initiated oxidation of methyl propionate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>CL₂/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of experiments</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor (ℓ)</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length (m)</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamp</td>
<td>TL05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis time (s)</td>
<td>7-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra number</td>
<td>7-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra scans</td>
<td>60-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra time resolution (s)</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>296 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (mbar)</td>
<td>1002 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppm) methyl propionate</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl₂</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl propionate consumption</td>
<td>40-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B.6: Experimental conditions used in experiments for the mechanistic study of the Cl atom initiated oxidation of dimethyl succinate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Cl/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of experiments</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor ($l$)</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length (m)</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamp</td>
<td>TL05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis time (s)</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra number</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra scans</td>
<td>40-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra time resolution (s)</td>
<td>50, 60, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>298 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (mbar)</td>
<td>1004 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppm) dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>8-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppm) Cl$_2$</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (ppm) NO</td>
<td>15-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl succinate consumption</td>
<td>40-60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex C

Absorption cross sections

The following tables list the FTIR absorption cross sections determined in this work. Most of the calibration measurements were performed in the 405 ℓ reactor, and partly in the 480 ℓ reactor, at 1000 mbar and at 298 K.

Typically, weighed amounts of a liquid or solid-phase compound were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃, and different amounts of the solution were, then, subsequently injected into the evacuated chamber in a stream of gas. All the spectra were acquired with a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹.

The absorption coefficients are indicated in ppm⁻¹ m⁻¹ units (1 ppm = 2.46 × 10¹⁵ molecule cm⁻³ at 1000 mbar and 298 K) and refer to the maximum IR absorption at the indicated wavelengths or to the integral of the absorption bands.

The measured values have an uncertainty of ±10%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelengths</th>
<th>σ₀₀</th>
<th>Wavelengths</th>
<th>σ₀₀</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3704 – 3628</td>
<td>2.32 · 10³</td>
<td>3015 – 2851</td>
<td>5.06 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3013 – 2816</td>
<td>4.45 · 10²</td>
<td>1524 – 1429</td>
<td>2.10 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1504 – 1427</td>
<td>1.78 · 10³</td>
<td>1429 – 1353</td>
<td>1.72 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1428 – 1362</td>
<td>1.55 · 10³</td>
<td>1147 – 931</td>
<td>1.47 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114.4 – 977</td>
<td>1.12 · 10²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelengths</th>
<th>σ₀₀</th>
<th>Wavelengths</th>
<th>σ₀₀</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3017 – 2845</td>
<td>2.91 · 10²</td>
<td>3020 – 2852</td>
<td>2.09 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2842 – 2762</td>
<td>3.64 · 10³</td>
<td>2852 – 2760</td>
<td>4.14 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2758 – 2648</td>
<td>7.25 · 10³</td>
<td>2760 – 2645</td>
<td>7.60 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842 – 1661</td>
<td>2.00 · 10²</td>
<td>1823 – 1665</td>
<td>2.04 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1506 – 1314</td>
<td>4.99 · 10³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1047 – 947</td>
<td>1.74 · 10³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>propanal</td>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3027 – 2862</td>
<td>$1.15 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1812 – 1711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2862 – 2764</td>
<td>$5.28 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1492 – 1409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2764 – 2645</td>
<td>$7.72 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1234 – 1116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1803 – 1708</td>
<td>$2.00 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1108 – 955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1162 – 1048</td>
<td>$2.04 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>937 – 816</td>
<td>$3.05 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>methyl propionate</th>
<th>propionic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3090 – 2813</td>
<td>$2.48 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>3607 – 3553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1803 – 1721</td>
<td>$3.23 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>3060 – 2868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1496 – 1411</td>
<td>$7.38 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1843 – 1718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1387 – 1312</td>
<td>$7.31 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1413 – 1345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1312 – 1147</td>
<td>$3.86 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1215 – 1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1047 – 996</td>
<td>$1.54 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1100 – 1028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>848 – 776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>methyl pyruvate</th>
<th>propionic formic anhydride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1817 – 1694</td>
<td>$4.96 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>3590 – 3559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1389 – 1237</td>
<td>$2.00 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1824 – 1762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1227 – 1176</td>
<td>$3.10 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1211 – 1107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1176 – 1086</td>
<td>$2.72 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1099 – 1007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1029 – 998</td>
<td>$2.05 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dimethyl succinate</th>
<th>succinic formic anhydride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{m}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2983 – 2819</td>
<td>$7.43 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>1808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1847 – 1681</td>
<td>$5.22 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400 – 1308</td>
<td>$1.16 \cdot 10^2$</td>
<td>1028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1189 – 1095</td>
<td>not linear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mono-methyl succinate</th>
<th>dimethyl oxalacetate ENOL FORM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelengths</strong></td>
<td><strong>σ_{um}</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3596 – 3568</td>
<td>2.63 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844 – 1721</td>
<td>2.40 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1385 – 1335</td>
<td>2.92 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234 – 1153</td>
<td>3.95 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153 – 1102</td>
<td>9.05 · 10³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2-Br-methyl acetate</th>
<th>4,5-dihydro-2-methyl furan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelengths</strong></td>
<td><strong>σ_{um}</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3140 – 2811</td>
<td>1.02 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830 – 1699</td>
<td>3.30 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1342 – 1237</td>
<td>2.70 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1237 – 1070</td>
<td>1.82 · 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1053 – 980</td>
<td>4.20 · 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>984 – 884</td>
<td>6.66 · 10³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex D

Syntheses

All the NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker ARX 400 at 400 MHz and the gas-phase FTIR spectra using a Nicolet Magna 550 with a total path length of 50.4 m a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹.

D.1 Methyl nitrite

Methyl nitrite was prepared following a published method [125] with some small modifications.

69 g (1 mol) of NaNO₂ and 50 ml CH₃OH/40 ml H₂O solution were mixed in a 2 l two-neck flask equipped with a stirrer and a dropping funnel and cooled in an ice bath.

A solution of 27 ml H₂SO₄ conc. in 50 ml H₂O was added dropwise to the mixture over a period of 3 hours. The reaction products were swept out of the reaction flask, passed over a anhydrous CaCl₂ bed and collected in a trap cooled at dry-ice temperature. The pale yellow liquid methyl nitrite was stored in the dark at −78 °C to prevent decomposition.

Yield: not determined
Purity: in the FTIR spectrum, CH₃OH was not detectable.

D.2 Nitrous acid

Procedure [126]: 30 ml of a 30% sulphuric acid solution were placed in a 100 ml three-neck round bottomed flask fitted with a stirrer, dropping funnel, thermometer and synthetic air inlet and outlet; the latter was directly connected to the reaction chamber. To the stirred flask 20 ml of a 1% NaNO₂ solution were added dropwise at 20 °C over a period of 30-50 min. Nitrous acid and the side products NO and NO₂ were flushed into the reaction chamber in a stream of gas.

\[ 2 \text{NaNO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \]
Aqueous solutions of nitrous acid are unstable and decompose when heated – slowly also at room temperature – and concentrated. NO and NO$_2$ are, then, formed according to the following disproportionation mechanism:

$$2\text{HNO}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2\text{O}_3$$

$$\text{N}_2\text{O}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$$

$$2\text{NO}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}_4$$

$$\text{N}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HNO}_3 + \text{HNO}_2$$

**D.3 Propionic formic anhydride**

Propionic formic anhydride was synthesised following a procedure similar to that described in the literature for methoxymethyl formate [127, 128]:

$$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COCl} + \text{HCOONa} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COOCHO} + \text{NaCl}$$

A dry 50 ml round bottomed flask equipped with a thermometer was charged with 13.2 g (194 mmol) sodium formate (Fluka, 99.5%) finely ground to ensure better contact. To the reagent, previously heated to the temperature of 200 °C, 4.0 ml (46 mmol) of propionyl chloride (Aldrich, 97%) was rapidly added. In order to ensure complete reaction, the flask was shaked until a homogenous white mixture was obtained, while the temperature was maintained at 23-27 °C using a cooling bath. The mixture was then filtered with suction and the solid residue rinsed four times with 20 ml diethyl ether (dried over calcium chloride); the washings were added to the original filtrate. The removal of the solvent by vacuum evaporation at room temperature afforded 3.4 g of a slightly yellow and resinous product.

The product was vacuum distilled and 2.2 g of the colourless fraction boiling at 51-54 °C at 35 mbar was collected.

Yield: 3.4 g (72%)  
Purity: 80% ($^1$H-NMR)

$^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ (ppm) = 9.03 (s, 1H, $-\text{C(O)H}$); 2.51 (q, 2H, $-\text{CH}_2$–$\text{C(O)–}$); 1.16 (t, 3H, $-\text{CH}_3$).
FTIR (gas-phase):

D.4 Succinic formic anhydride

\[\text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COCl} + \text{HCOONa} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOCHO} + \text{NaCl}\]

3.25 ml (27 mmol) of methyl succinyl chloride (Fluka, 98%) was, rapidly, added to 6.8 g (100 mmol) of sodium formate (Aldrich, 99%), previously heated to a temperature of 50 °C. The synthesis of succinic formic anhydride proceeded according to the steps described in D.3. However, in this case, the final vacuum distillation of the product reaction residue was carried out without success: the pale yellow and dense product decomposed at 30 °C at 30 mbar to a yellow resinous solid product.

Yield: 4.3 g (100%)

Purity: 70% (¹H-NMR)

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 9.03 (s, 1H, –C(O)H); 3.67 (s, 3H, –CH₃); 2.80 (t, 2H, –CH₂–); 2.67 (t, 2H, –CH₂–).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 171.9 [–C(O)–O–CH₃ ]; 155.8 [–C(O)H]; 169.6 [–C(O)–OC(O)H ]; 29.1 [–CH₂–]; 27.7 [–CH₂–].
D.5 Dimethyl oxaloacetate

\[ \text{HOOCOCOCH}_2\text{COOH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OCOCOCH}_2\text{COOCH}_3 \]

A 100 ml flask was charged with 2 g (15.14 mmol) of oxalacetic acid and 20 ml of methanol. To the stirred mixture, 0.5 ml of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) conc. was added dropwise. The mixture was heated for few hours under reflux. The solution was, then, allowed to cool at room temperature, evaporated under vacuum and redissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was extracted twice with water, using a saturated aqueous solution of NaCl and dried with anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The removal of the solvent afforded 1.8 g of a solid crystalline mass of dimethyl oxaloacetate pure to such an extent that further purification was not necessary.

As reported in the literature [104], dimethyl oxaloacetate has been shown to exist exclusively in an enol structure in the solid phase. In solution, dimethyl oxaloacetate, being a 1,3-dicarbonyl compound, exists as an equilibrium mixture of keto and enol forms. It is known that the keto-enol tautomerization is solvent dependent and that the proportion of the keto form increases with increasing solvent polarity. An NMR study of dimethyl oxaloacetate confirmed this behaviour and allowed the position of the keto-enol equilibrium in CDCl\(_3\) to be defined as 83.5% of the enol form and 16.5% of the keto form.
Yield: 1.6 g (74%)
Purity: 100% (¹H-NMR)
Melting point: 74 °C

Enol form
¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 11.52 (s, 1H, –OH); 5.99 (s, 1H, H–C=C–OH);
3.85 (s, 3H, –O–CH₃); 3.79 (s, 3H, –O–CH₃).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 172.14 [ –C=O–OH ]; 162.11 [ –C(O)C=C– ];
159.09 [ OHCC(O)– ]; 96.68 [ –C=C–OH ]; 52.98 [ CH₃–O– ];
52.07 [ CH₃–O– ].

FTIR (gas-phase):

Keto form
¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 3.86 (s, 3H, –O–CH₃); 3.80 (s, 3H, –O–CH₃);
3.72 (s, 2H, –CH₂–).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 186.07 [ –CH₂–C(O)–C(O)– ]; 166.68 [ –O–C(O)–CH₂– ];
160.33 [ –C(O)–C(O)–O– ]; 53.34 [ CH₃–O– ];
52.57 [ CH₃–O– ]; 44.89 [ –CH₂– ].
FTIR (gas-phase):
Annex E

Gases and Chemicals

Table E. 1: Gases employed in this work. All the gases were supplied by Messer Griesheim.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Purity (Vol %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-butane</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorine</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloroethane</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloromethane</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrogen</td>
<td>99.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synthetic air</td>
<td>99.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20.5% O₂ in N₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table E.2: Chemicals employed in this work: stated purities and manufacturers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>Purity (Vol %)</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>butanal</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloroform</td>
<td>99.9+</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-chloropropane</td>
<td>99 (GC)</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dichloromethane</td>
<td>99+</td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,5-dihydro-2-methylfuran</td>
<td>97 (GC)</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl adipate</td>
<td>99+ (GC)</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl glutarate</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl succinate</td>
<td>98 (GC)</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε-hexane</td>
<td>99.9+</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-hydroxy-2-butane</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-hydroxy-2-pentane</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-iodopentane</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-iodopropanol</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl-bromo-acetate</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl crotonate</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl formate</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl propionate</td>
<td>99 (GC)</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl pyruvate</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-methyl glutarate</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-methyl succinate</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl succinyl chloride</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxolacetic acid</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pentanal</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>99+</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propanal</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-propanol</td>
<td>99.7 (GC)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionyl chloride</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sodium formate</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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